

PLACES FOR EVERYONE PLAN

REPORT OF RESPONSES TO THE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS CONSULTATION 2023 AND SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS

Additional modifications (sometimes also referred to as “minor modifications”) are changes which do not materially affect the policies in the Plan and therefore did not fall within the scope of the examination. However, for completeness, a schedule of Additional Modifications was made available for public consultation for a period of eight weeks from 11 October 2023 to 06 December 2023, alongside the Main Modifications’ Schedule.

This report summarises the issues raised in respect of the Additional Modifications and summaries the PfE districts’ response. Please note that references to policy and paragraph numbers relate to those as set out in the submission version of the Plan (SD1). The appendix sets out the Additional Modifications which are being presented to the nine PfE authorities for approval alongside consideration of the Inspectors’ Report and the Main Modifications. Additional Modifications that were presented for public consultation have a AM prefix. Those identified after the consultation have a FAM prefix.

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM1.10	Introduction Para 1.41	The claim that the 9 councils have been 'able to maximize the supply of brownfield land...and limit the extent of Green Belt release' is not true. Does not align with brownfield preference or Chapter 13 NPPF on Green belt protection. No argument of exceptional circumstances for Green Belt development.	No comment on the proposed modification text. No new evidence has been submitted and no issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date. No change.	Bamford Green Belt Action Group

CHAPTER 2: CONTEXT

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM2.1	Para 2.4	Challenges the amount of growth referred to in paragraph 2.4. It is too	Additional amendments (AM2.1) are consequential modifications as a result of	CPRE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
		optimistic given economic uncertainties and cancellation of HS2.	changes to the plan period (<u>GMCA6.1</u> SQ1.12). Growth was not predicated on delivery of HS2 therefore these figures do not need reviewing in the light of the announcements made in October 2023 about HS2.	

CHAPTER 3: VISION

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM3.1 to 3.2	Vision	The plan's Vision includes: "A place where all voices are heard and where, working together, we can shape our future", but respondents have not been listened to, in particular around Green Belt allocations.	Comment does not relate to the wording of a proposed modification. All responses to consultations have been carefully considered during the preparation of the PfE Plan. No change.	Thornham St John's Neighbourhood Forum

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM3.1 to 3.2	Vision	Unnecessary release of Green Belt. Should prioritise the use / regeneration of brownfield sites. Effect on ecology.	No specific comments made in relation to the Additional Modifications' wording. No new evidence has been submitted. No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date. No change.	Alan Tuckley

CHAPTER 4: STRATEGY

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM4.1 to AM4.7	Strategy	Many of the allocations do not align at all well with the Plan's Vision, Objectives, and Strategies. Recent events such as COVID, and slowing in population growth from ONS predictions.	No specific comments made in relation to the Additional Modifications' wording. No new evidence has been submitted. No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date. No change.	Thornham St John's Neighbourhood Forum

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM4.1 to AM4.7	Strategy	The Plan will contradict efforts to control Climate Change, and the GMCA's and Local council's Climate Emergency declarations. The Plan does very little to ensure that road transport for both private vehicles and freight, in particular, will be sufficiently reduced.	No specific comments made in relation to the Additional Modifications' wording. No new evidence has been submitted. No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date. No change.	Thornham St John's Neighbourhood Forum
AM4.5	Strategy	Deletion of the words, "around the airport, including the Davenport Green area" changes the meaning of Reasoned Justification Para 4.62. The reason given for this additional modification in the schedule is that it is, "In line with IN36 following removal of JPA-10". This additional modification is a change which, contrary to the published guidance, materially affects	It is considered that the proposed Additional Modification is an appropriate consequential modification, following the deletion of JPA10. The text is Reasoned Justification and is not Policy. Instead, it describes, geographically, where the release of Green Belt is proposed in this locality. It is however acknowledged that consequential changes are needed to references to HS2, such as the one in para	Jeremy Williams

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
		<p>the policies in the Plan. There was nothing selective about the proposed release of Green Belt in the JPA10 area, as is currently claimed by the document. The revised text and the schedule need to be modified to make it clear that the additional modification via deletion was a direct consequence of the Planning Inspectorate's recommendation. Additionally, reference to HS2 will need to be deleted.</p>	<p>4.62 to take account of the Government's announcements relating to HS2 in October 2023. These further modifications are set out in full in the schedule of Further Main Modifications.</p>	

CHAPTER 7: PLACES FOR HOMES

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM7.1	Paragraph 7.7	Supports the additional modification which seeks to recognise that should the Local Housing Number (LHN) not be met up to 2039, the process of a Local Plan Review to monitor the situation will come into force.	Support noted	John Hamer
AM7.1	Paragraph 7.7	Our Client's Site, (North of Irlam Station GM 29) was a Strategic Allocation in earlier versions of the Joint Plan and should continue to be considered as a suitable location for future housing as part of any Local Plan Review.	No specific comments made in relation to the additional modifications' wording. The appropriateness of North of Irlam Station as an allocation in PfE, including in relation to public benefits and the presence of peat, was discussed at examination sessions on the 16 February 2023 (in respect of PfE Policy JPA28) and 5 July 2023 (specifically in relation to peat). The	John Hamer

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
			<p>justification for deleting allocation JPA28 is summarised in IN37.</p> <p>Salford is currently progressing the second part of their Local Plan looking at core strategy and allocations. The Local Plan will therefore consider the need for further site allocations within the city.</p>	
AM7.1 to AM7.3	Places for Homes	<p>Too favourable to developers. Criteria needs to be stricter if it is to provide what is required in area. There should be a minimum requirement of 40% affordable housing provision within any development irrespective of the size of the development. The affordable homes should not be smaller and more cheaply made in comparison to the overall development.</p>	<p>No specific comments made in relation to the additional modifications' wording.</p> <p>The modifications make it clear that it will be for district level Local Plans to set targets for the provision of affordable housing.</p> <p>No change.</p>	Carole Tuckley

CHAPTER 8: GREENER PLACES

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM8.1 to AM8.4	Greener Places	Object to development of Green Belt land	No specific comments made in relation to the additional modifications' wording. No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date. No change.	David G Roberts
AM8.1 to AM8.4	Greener Places	Not consistent with the Government's stated aspirations to channel growth towards major cities in order to protect green space. The plan's own evidence base shows that by adopting a high-density strategy directed at the city and town centres and along sustainable public transport routes, approximately 214,000 homes could be built over the	No specific comments made in relation to the additional modifications' wording. No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date. The approach set out in the plan is evidenced through documentation on the GMCA website No new evidence submitted. No change.	Carole Tuckley

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
		<p>plan period without needing to release any Green Belt.</p> <p>References also made in the statement to the published feedback in relation to the GMCA's consultation on the Local Nature Recovery Plan, which took place between February and March 2021.</p>		

CHAPTER 9: PLACES FOR PEOPLE

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AM9.1 to AM9.9	Places for People	<p>https://www.placenorthwest.co.uk/planning-approval-tipped-for-rochdale-homes-200000-sq-ft-industrial/ Read the comments!!</p>	<p>No specific comments made in relation to the additional modifications' wording.</p> <p>No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date.</p>	Carole Tuckley

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
			No change.	

CHAPTER 11: ALLOCATIONS - ROCHDALE

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
AMR1	Rochdale Allocations	Re Bamford / Norden Object to the development as the transport interventions are inadequate and make unrealistic assumptions about public transport use.	No specific comments made in relation to the additional modifications' wording. No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date. No change.	Carole Tuckley
AMR1	JPA20 Castleton Sidings	Supportive of modification to paragraph 11.221 for JPA20 Castleton Sidings as this offers clearer context for the position of the tram-train project.	Support noted	Kellen Homes

CHAPTER 11: ALLOCATIONS - TAMESIDE

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
N/A	JPA31: Godley Green Garden Village, Footnote 144 JPA32: South of Hyde, Footnote 149	The web links to Sport England’s Active Design Guide should be updated to the latest version of the guidance at Active Design Sport England , as follows: 144 Sport England – Active Design, Design and Cost Guidance: Active Design Active Design Sport England . 149 Sport England – Active Design, Design and Cost Guidance: Active Design Active Design Sport England .	Agree. Further Additional Modification is required to update the web links in footnotes 144 &149. See Appendix 1 of this report for details.	Sport England

OTHER COMMENTS

SUMMARY OF MAIN ISSUES RAISED TO THE PFE ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS AND THE PFE RESPONSE

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
Multiple	Multiple	<p>I am a layman and this is just too complicated.</p> <p>Unnecessary release of Green Belt.</p> <p>Should prioritise the use / regeneration of brownfield sites.</p>	<p>No specific comments made in relation to the additional modifications' wording.</p> <p>No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date.</p> <p>No change.</p>	Alan Tuckley
AM4.1 to AM4.7	Strategy	<p>If the GMCA were truly concerned with how local people thought about the plans each individual borough should have released details of the plan directly affecting that borough and consulted with the residents before the plan was submitted as whole. The presentation of the whole plan and subsequent modifications have placed individual lay</p>	<p>No specific comments made in relation to the additional modifications' wording.</p> <p>No issues raised which have not been considered as part of the examination to date.</p> <p>As detailed in documents SD21 to SD31, which are available on the GMCA's website, extensive consultation was carried out on the plan before it was submitted for</p>	Carole Tuckley

Mod reference	Relevant Part of Plan	Summary of main issues raised	PfE Response	Respondent Name(s)
		persons trying to respond at a disadvantage	independent Examination. The modifications' consultation therefore comes after multiple opportunities for people to express their views. No change.	

Appendix 1

SCHEDULE OF ADDITIONAL MODIFICATIONS

This appendix sets out the further additional modifications which the PfE districts consider should be made to the Place for Everyone Plan, following consideration of the responses to the public consultation between 11 October and 6 December 2023.

Note: Where a previous modification was proposed, the relevant modification reference has been included in the first column; where it is a new further main modification, no reference has been included. Where relevant, the name of the respondent to the modifications' consultation has also been included.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
FAM1	Contents	3	Table of Contents added	Usability
FAM2	All Instances	N/A	All instances of 'Metrolink' have been capitalized.	Grammatical error
FAM3	All Instances	N/A	All instances of 'Picture' in chapter 1-10 have been changed to 'Figure'	Formatting
FAM4	All Instances	N/A	All instances of 'Figure' in chapter 11 have been changed to 'Picture'	Formatting
FAM5	All Instances	N/A	Consequential changes to paragraph numbers, figure numbers, and picture numbers to chronological order.	Formatting

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
FAM6	Policy Numbers	N/A	Consequential changes to Policy numbers (including Allocations and Green Belt Additions) to ensure consecutive numbering.	Formatting
FAM7	Tables	N/A	Formatting of tables has been amended. Some have been split into multiple tables to prevent merging of cells (e.g. see Table 12.1-12.7).	Accessibility regulations (2018)
AM1.1	Sub-title above para 1.1	8	Include new sub-title before paragraph 1.1 as follows: “ <u>Background</u> ”	This clarifies the role of paragraphs 1.1 to 1.11
AM1.2	Para 1.13	10	Modify the last sentence of paragraph 1.13 as follows: “Of course, we need to underpin all of this this with quality education, work and skills provision across the Plan area: using science and digital to engage people at a young age; developing a clear light <u>line</u> of sight to opportunities; guaranteeing opportunities for all young People; and support those furthest from the labour market back into work.”	Typos

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM1.3	Para 1.14	10	Modify the final sentence of paragraph 1.14 as follows: “Urban greenspace is under pressure and needs to be protected and enhanced wherever possible. The proposals for the first city centre park at Mayfield is leading <u>has led</u> the way.”	To reflect the passage of time
AM1.4	Para 1.16	10	Modify paragraph 1.16 as follows: “The Places for Everyone: Publication Plan is our spatial plan to underpin our recovery.”	To reflect the passage of time
AM1.5	Para 1.17	10	Modify the title above paragraph 1.17 as follows: “The Places for Everyone: Publication Plan”	To reflect the passage of time
AM1.6	Para 1.21	11	Modify the first sentence of paragraph 1.21 as follows:	To reflect the passage of time

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			“The text of the GMSF2020 <u>was</u> has been revised following the withdrawal of Stockport.”	
AM1.7	Para 1.29	13	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 1.29 as follows:</p> <p>“In extremely challenging times, the need for bold ambitious plans for good quality employment are <u>is</u> critical.”</p>	Typo
AM1.8	Para 1.30	13	<p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 1.30 as follows:</p> <p>“Meeting market demand for sites and infrastructure is essential however as all places in the UK will be seeking to capture growth to offset the impact of the economic downturn and the loss of jobs in our current growth sectors.”</p>	Typo
AM1.9	Para 1.39	14	Modify the last sentence of paragraph 1.39 as follows:	To reflect the passage of time

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			“We are piloting <u>piloted</u> the development of a Local Nature Recovery Network Strategy with Natural England.”	
AM1.10	Para 1.41	15	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 1.41 as follows:</p> <p>“As part of this consultation we are being as transparent as possible about the land we have identified within the urban area. The land supply position for the nine districts is published alongside this consultation.”</p>	To reflect the passage of time
AM1.11	Para 1.43	15	<p>Modify the last sentence of paragraph 1.43 as follows:</p> <p>“If we cannot demonstrate that our brownfield land is available, and then we are required to identify other land which is — this may be Protected Open Land or Green Belt.”</p>	Typo
AM1.12	Para 1.45	15	Modify the second and third sentences of paragraph 1.45 as follows:	To reflect the passage of time

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“The recently announced Brownfield Land Fund is targeted at Combined Authorities and begins to help to address viability issues across the conurbation, but it is not enough. We <u>continue to work</u> are bidding for more funding but further discussions with Government are critical to enable the full potential of our brownfield land supply to be realised”.</p>	
AM1.13	Para 1.53	17	<p>Modify the third sentence of paragraph 1.53 as follows:</p> <p>“We <u>Greater Manchester</u> have looked at the major challenges that we consider our existing infrastructure networks will have to respond to and have produced an Infrastructure Framework setting this out.”</p>	To clarify that the Infrastructure Framework is a GM document
AM1.14	Para 1.62	18	<p>Modify the last sentence of paragraph 1.62 as follows:</p>	To take account of the passage of time

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“On this basis, it is proposed <u>was agreed</u> to proceed directly to Publication stage under Regulation 19 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Planning) England Regulations 2012.”</p>	
AM1.15	Para 1.63 to 1.68	18 - 20	<p>Delete paragraphs 1.63 to 1.68 and insert the following new text as paragraphs 1.63 and 1.64:</p> <p><u>“The consultation under Regulation 19 ran for 8 weeks, starting in August 2021. The draft joint DPD (Places for Everyone) and all representations received during the Regulation 19 consultation were sent to the Secretary of State (the “Submission stage”) pursuant to Reg. 22 of the Local Planning Regulations. Following that, three independent inspectors were appointed to examine the plan and the formal hearing sessions of the examination began in November 2022.”</u></p>	To take account of the passage of time

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p><u>“Following an 8 week period of consultation on proposed modifications to the Submitted Plan and the publication of the Inspectors’ report in February 2024, the nine PfE authorities resolved to adopt the plan on 21st March 2024. As such the PfE Plan forms part of the development plan for each of the nine PfE authorities.”</u></p>	
AM2.1	Para 2.4	24	<p>Modify sentences 3 to 6 of paragraph 2.4 as follows:</p> <p>“The population of Greater Manchester is forecast to have grown by around another 195<u>0</u>,000 people by 203<u>9</u> <u>7</u> (of which just over 17<u>7</u> <u>0</u>,000 will be within the nine districts making up the PfE Plan area). This growth, in turn, will contribute to a significant increase in households. 6<u>6</u> <u>9</u>% of the population growth is expected to be in those aged 65 and over, and approximately 40% of the growth will be amongst those aged 75 and over. Growth in the working age population (18-64) will be lower (approximately 4<u>0</u> <u>38</u>% of the total growth), but still significant at around 75,000. This concentration of</p>	Consequential as a result of changes to the Plan Period

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>growth is likely to continue in the future, with Manchester, Salford and Rochdale forecast to account for around 47% of Greater Manchester's population growth over the period up to 2039 7 or just over 50% of the population growth in the PfE Plan area.”</p>	
AM2.2	Para 2.6	25	<p>Modify paragraph 2.6 from sentence 3 as follows:</p> <p>“The baseline economic forecast foresees an increase of around 100,000 jobs by 2039 7 (of which approximately 90% is forecast to be within the PfE Plan area). Similar changes in the sectoral mix to the recent past are forecast, although a more ambitious accelerated growth scenario estimates an increase of just over 170,000 jobs across Greater Manchester. Employment opportunities are unevenly dispersed across Greater Manchester, with by far the most significant concentration currently being in the City Centre and adjoining areas such as Salford Quays, which collectively account for around 20% of all jobs. Baseline economic trends⁽³⁾ suggest the majority of the</p>	Consequential as a result of changes to the Plan Period

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			employment growth would be in Salford, Manchester and Trafford. The remaining districts would see only modest change in employment in the period 2020-39 7, with some districts (Oldham, Rochdale, Tameside and Wigan) seeing small decreases in total employment in this period.”	
AM2.3	Para 2.10	26	Modify the first sentence of paragraph 2.10 as follows: “This covers the City Centre and the Quays and extends eastwards to include Central Park and the Etihad eCampus, and westwards through Trafford Park which is one of Europe’s largest industrial estates.”	Typo
AM2.4	Para. 2.14	27	Modify the final sentence of paragraph 2.14, as follows: “More locally, the emerging Airport City development (part of the Greater Manchester Enterprise Zone) is creating a major new	To clarify the sustainable growth location of Manchester Airport ensuring

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			economic asset, attracting global occupiers and investment attracted by the unrivalled connectivity.”	consistency across the Plan, including Policy JP-Strat10.
AM2.5	Para 2.29	32	<p>Modify the penultimate sentence of paragraph 2.29 as follows:</p> <p>“This concentration of growth is likely to continue in the future, with Manchester, Salford and Trafford forecast to account for 44 <u>5</u>% of population growth up to 20397, around 60% of GVA growth, and 90% of the increase in employment⁸.”</p>	Consequential as a result of changes to the Plan Period
AM3.1	Objective 3	39	<p>Amend the start of bullet 4 of objective 3 as follows:</p> <p>“Facilitate the development of high value clusters in prime <u>key economic</u> sectors such as:”</p>	To clarify with a correctional wording change to ensure the policy is consistent with NPPF paragraph 123 which refers to key economic sectors, rather than prime sectors.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM3.2	Objective 4	40	Amend the first bullet of objective 4 as follows: “Focus development in the Core Growth Area, Manchester Airport and key economic <u>growth</u> locations.”	To ensure consistency with JP-J1 point G and to remove ambiguity.
AM4.1	Spatial Strategy Figure 4.1	48	Modify colour scheme of figure 4.1.	To clarify as a consequential change to other policies in the framework.
AM4.2	JP-Strat3: The Quays Figure 4.4	55	Modify figure 4.4 to add reference to ‘Warrington,’ and modified colour scheme.	To clarify as a consequential change to other policies in the framework.
AM4.3	JP-Strat6: Northern Areas Reasoned Justification Para 4.47	60	Modify the second sentence of paragraph 4.47 as follows: “There is a need to increase the density of high quality public transport routes to match that found in the southern areas. Improving connections between places in the north, and to key economic <u>growth</u> locations such as those within the Core Growth Area and	To ensure consistency with JP-J1 point G and to remove ambiguity.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			Manchester Airport, would help to deliver a more integrated Greater Manchester economy where everyone can benefit fully from growth.”	
AM4.4	JP-Strat 6: North East Growth Corridor Reasoned Justification Para 4.49	61	Modify the first sentence of paragraph 4.49, as follows: “The most significant proposed intervention in the northern areas is focused on the M62 corridor from Junction 18 (the confluence with the M60 and M66) to Junction 21 (Milnrow), extending across parts of Bury, Rochdale and Oldham (GM-Strat 7 <u>JP-Strat7</u>).”	To clarify, reflecting a consequential change to reference Policy JP-Strat7
AM4.5	JP-Strat9: Southern Areas Reasoned Justification Para 4.62	67	Modify the second sentence of paragraph 4.62 as follows: “This will include the selective release of Green Belt for new employment and housing around the airport, including the Davenport Green area around the proposed HS2 station and beyond to the hospital and southern edge of Timperley.”	In line with IN36 following removal of JPA-10

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM4.6	JP-Strat10: Manchester Airport Reasoned Justification Paras 4.66 and 4.68	68, 69	<p>Modify the last sentence of paragraph 4.66 as follows:</p> <p>“More locally, the emerging Airport City development (part of the Greater Manchester Enterprise Zone) is creating a major new economic asset for Greater Manchester, attracting global occupiers and investment attracted by the unrivalled connectivity.”</p> <p>Modify the fourth and fifth sentences of paragraph 4.68 as follows:</p> <p>“The M56 J6 to J8 smart motorway scheme will serve to <u>maximises</u> the benefits of recent/ongoing investment in the A556 and M6 J19 improvements. <u>National Highways England</u> England have also commenced a South Manchester Highways and Transport Study which is exploring options for improvements to transport links to mitigate the impact on the M56 of proposed growth in this location.”</p>	<p>To ensure consistency across the plan.</p> <p>Updated to reflect current position</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM5.1	JP-S1: Sustainable Development Reasoned Justification Para. 5.1	82	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 5.1 as follows:</p> <p>“The purpose of the planning system as set out in legislation and the National Planning Policy Framework (2019<u>2021</u>) is to contribute to the achievement of sustainable development and to maintain a presumption in favour of such development as part of plan making and decision taking.”</p>	To clarify, reflecting the updated NPPF reference
FAM8	JP-S2: Carbon and Energy Policy	86	<p>Modify footnote 31 to criterion 8a as follows:</p> <p>“Applied to operational net zero carbon up to 2028 and considered for net zero ‘in construction’ from 2028 onwards in line with the UK GBC Framework (https://www.ukgbc.org/ukgbc-work/net-zero-carbon-buildings-a-framework-definition/</p> <p>https://ukgbc.org/resources/net-zero-carbon-buildings-framework/)</p> <p>Minimum carbon reduction target expected to be in line with 2025 Future Homes Standard of 80%.”</p>	To update with the most up-to-date web address.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM5.2	JP-S2: Carbon and Energy Reasoned Justification Paras 5.5, 5.7, 5.9, 5.11, 5.12, 5.18. 5.19,	83, 84, 85, 86, 88, 89	<p>Delete footnote 17 to paragraph 5.5 as follows:</p> <p>“A Greater Manchester carbon budget compliant with the Paris Agreement was developed by Tyndall (2019)– https://www.research.manchester.ac.uk/portal/files/83000155/Tyndall-Quantifying_Paris_for_Manchester_Report_FINAL_PUBLISHED_re_v1.pdf”</p> <p>Delete footnote 20 to paragraph 5.9 as follows:</p> <p>“Energy Systems Catapult (2016), Greater Manchester Spatial Energy Plan: https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/1363/spatial_energy_plan_exec_summary.pdf</p> <p>Modify the last sentence of paragraph 5.12 as follows:</p>	<p>Footnote unnecessary as GM's international commitment to becoming a carbon neutral city region by 2038 is covered in the next footnote and the Carbon and Energy Topic Paper.</p> <p>Delete footnote as webpage has been removed.</p> <p>Typographical errors.</p> <p>Web pages have been removed or wrong link.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“The research has shown that in a low carbon context, the use of heat pumps could lead to higher running costs (as they consume energy, not generate), increasing the potential for more households being in fuel poverty, production of waste heat and an increased risk of creating local network capacity issues.”</p> <p>Modify the penultimate sentence of paragraph 5.18 as follows:</p> <p>“Various industry initiatives⁽²⁸⁾ are also beginning to address this issue so that this can be minimised as part early <u>on</u> as part of the design and build process.”</p> <p>Delete footnote 29 to paragraph 5.19 as follows:</p> <p>“Report to inform Greater Manchester Spatial Framework—Carbon and Energy Policy, Tyndall (2019)”</p>	<p>Consequential modification following the deletion of policy JP-S2 criteria 4.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM5.3	JP-S3 Heat and Energy Networks Reasoned Justification Paras 5.21 and 5.22	88-89	<p>Delete footnote 34 of paragraph 5.21 as follows:</p> <p>“See http://enworks.com/resources/ESTA%20Wedges%20Approach%20in%20Greater%20Manchester%20Final.pdf”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 5.22 as follows:</p> <p>“Further analysis³⁵ has identified heat networks as among the technologies/systems offering the highest technical potential to contribute to carbon emissions <u>the reductions in carbon emissions</u>.”</p> <p>Modify footnote 35 to paragraph 5.22 (first sentence) to delete existing URL and replace with a new URL as follows:</p>	For clarity

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“See https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/1363/spatial_energy_plan_exec_summary.pdf https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/1277/spatial-energy-plan-nov-2016.pdf”</p> <p>Delete footnote 37 to paragraph 5.22 (last sentence) as follows:</p> <p>“See https://www.cse.org.uk/projects/view/1183”</p>	
AM5.4	JP-S6: Clean Air Reasoned Justification Para 5.42	96	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 5.42 as follows (insertion of space between PM10 and PM2.5):</p> <p>“A range of different types of pollutant negatively impact on air quality, including nitrogen oxides (NO_x), small and fine particulate matter (PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}), sulphur dioxide (SO₂), volatile organic compounds (NMVOCs) and ammonia (NH₃).”</p>	Typo.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM5.5	JP-S7: Resource Efficiency Reasoned Justification Para 5.56	101	Delete URL in footnote to paragraph 5.56 (first sentence) and replace with a new URL as follows: “See www.gmwastedpd.co.uk/doclib.html#Adopted_Waste_Plan_Documents https://www.greatermanchester-ca.gov.uk/media/1994/greater_manchester_waste_plan_opt.pdf ”	Updated link to webpage.
AM6.1	JP-J1: Supporting Long-Term Economic Growth Policy	108, 111	Amend criterion B of policy JP-J1 as follows: Facilitating the development of high value clusters in prime sectors <u>key economic sectors</u> such as:”	To clarify with a correctional wording change to ensure the policy is consistent with NPPF paragraph 123 which refers to key economic sectors, rather than prime sectors.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM6.2	JP-J3: Office Development Reasoned Justification Para 6.21	113	<p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 6.21 as follows:</p> <p>“To ensure the continued growth of our key <u>economic</u> sectors is not constrained by a shortage of supply of new floorspace it is important to maintain a strong supply in key <u>growth</u> locations such as the City Centre and The Quays.”</p>	To clarify with a correctional wording change to ensure the policy is consistent with NPPF paragraph 123 which refers to key economic sectors, rather than key sectors.
AM6.3	JP-J4: Industry and Warehousing Development Reasoned Justification Para 6.26	116	<p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 6.26 as follows:</p> <p>“It is particularly important to the key <u>economic</u> sectors of advanced manufacturing and logistics but is also crucial to supporting other parts of the economy and its continued provision will help to reduce inequalities.”</p>	To clarify with a correctional wording change to ensure the policy is consistent with NPPF paragraph 123 which refers to key economic sectors, rather than key sectors.
AM6.4	JP-J4: Industry and Warehousing	120, 123	Modify the last sentence of para 6.34 as follows:	Typographical error

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	Development Policy Paras 6.34, 6.36		<p>“Additionally given the scale of some of the opportunities, almost a further...”</p> <p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 6.36 as follows:</p> <p>“The Green Belt sites have been selected in order to make the most of key assets and locations, with a focus on realising the potential of transport infrastructure. such as the motorway network, the Manchester Ship Canal and Manchester Airport.”</p>	Consequential modification following the deletion of policy JPA10 Global Logistics
AM7.1	JP:H1: Scale, Distribution and Phasing of New Housing Development Reasoned Justification	127, 131	<p>Modify the last sentence of paragraph 7.7 as follows:</p> <p>“Instead it is considered appropriate to proceed on the basis that we should seek to meet our LHN up to 2037 <u>2039</u> but to use the process of local plan review to monitor the situation and if necessary to undertake a formal review outside of the statutory timetable.”</p> <p>Modify the first three sentences of paragraph 7.14 as follows:</p>	Consequential changes as a result of the change to the plan period. Clarification

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	Paras 7.7, 7.14, 7.16		<p>“The population of the PfE plan area is projected to increase in population by 158,194 (6.2%) from 2021 to 2037 <u>165,600 (6.4%)</u> from 2022 to 2039 ^{New footnote}. The highest levels of population growth across Greater Manchester are projected to be in the two cities Manchester (32,700 <u>34,000</u> increase in residents) and Salford (31,000 <u>31,700</u>). The next largest increases are projected to be in Rochdale (19,400 <u>19,800</u>) and Oldham (16,700 <u>17,600</u>).”</p> <p>New footnote: <u>ONS 2018-based subnational population projections.</u></p> <p>Modify penultimate sentence of paragraph 7.16 as follows:</p> <p>“Instead, this plan recognises the uncertainty that the pandemic may have on the housing sector in the short-term by ensuring that there is a significant buffer <u>flexibility allowance</u> on the housing land supply to meet the proposed phasing.”</p>	

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM7.2	JP-H2: Affordability of New Housing Reasoned Justification Para 7.23	134	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 7.23 as follows:</p> <p>“There are around 72,000 <u>over 70,000</u> households on the local authority registers, with over 26,000 <u>almost 27,000</u> of these identified as being in reasonable preference for housing⁷⁹.”</p>	For consistency with how figures are expressed in para 7.2
AM7.3	JP-H3: Type, Size and Design of New Housing Reasoned Justification Para.7.29	136	<p>Modify paragraph 7.29 as follows:</p> <p>“A diverse range of housing will be required to meet our population and household growth. Just over <u>under</u> 70% of the population increase 2024-2037 <u>2022-2039</u> is projected to be in those aged 65 and over. In contrast the population of those aged under 18 is projected to decrease by more than 12,000 <u>almost 10,000</u>.⁽⁸²⁾ Indeed, those aged 65 and over are projected to account for large proportions of the growth in each district and ranging from 34 <u>33%</u> of the growth in Salford to 445 <u>140%</u> in Wigan and 466 <u>154%</u> in Bolton</p>	Consequential change as a result of the change to the plan period.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			(and those under 65 in Bolton decreasing by 5,000 <u>4,400</u> and those under 65 in Wigan decreasing by 6,400 <u>5,700</u>).")	
AM8.1	JP-G2: Green Infrastructure Network Reasoned Justification Paras 8.11, 8.14	146, 147	<p>Modify the last sentence of paragraph 8.11 as follows:</p> <p>"GMCA is piloting and developing <u>has piloted the development of a</u> Local Nature Recovery Strategy (LNRS), these will <u>have</u> become mandatory and a statutory document under the Environment Bill and will identify priority actions for biodiversity and nature recovery across Greater Manchester, including the development of an NRN."</p> <p>Delete the entirety of paragraph 8.14 as follows:</p> <p>"The Environment Bill being considered by Parliament goes even further — requiring all areas in England to establish LNRSs. This will help bring a broad range of groups together — from farmers to businesses to local communities — to deliver priorities for nature</p>	To reflect the passage of time

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			recovery at a local and national level. The pilots will also help kick-start the creation of over a million acres of habitats for wildlife.”	
FAM9	JP-G4: Lowland Wetlands and Mosses Reasoned Justification	153	Modify footnote 95 to paragraph 8.27 as follows: 95 https://www.lancswt.org.uk/greatmanchesterwetlands <u>Great Manchester Wetlands</u>	To update the footnote to the most-up-to date web address.
AM8.2	JP-G7: Trees and Woodland Policy	160	Modify criterion 2 of policy JP-G7 as follows: “Encourage <u>ing</u> habitat diversity through conserving and managing existing woodland and trees that are of heritage, cultural and/or aesthetic value, including ancient woodland and veteran trees;”	Typographical error.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM8.3	JP-G10: The Green Belt Reasoned Justification, 8.62	167,	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 8.62 as follows:</p> <p>“In amending the Green Belt boundary, through the allocations in this Plan, opportunities have been identified to improve/enhance Green Infrastructure within the land to remain within the Green Belt.”</p>	Clarification.
AM8.4	JP-G10: The Green Belt policy	167	<p>Remove repeated word ‘this’ in the second paragraph of policy JP-G10 as follows:</p> <p>“...where this this can be achieved without harm to its openness, permanence or ability to serve its five purposes.”</p>	Typographical error
AM9.1	JP-P1 Sustainable Places Reasoned	173-174	<p>Modify paragraph 9.4 by adding semi-colons to the end of the first ten bullets and a full stop at the end of the final bullet. Also add ‘and’ at the end of the penultimate bullet.</p> <p>Modify bullet point 7 of paragraph 9.4 as follows:</p>	Typographical errors

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	Justification Para 9.4		“Enabling all residents to lead healthier lives in safer places with good access to facilities that support health and well-being <u>wellbeing</u> ;	
AM9.2	JP-P1: Sustainable Places Policy	175	<p>Modify criterion 1C. of Policy JP-P1, as follows:</p> <p>“Respects and acknowledges the character and <u>identity</u> identify of the locality in terms of design, siting, size, scale and materials used.”</p> <p>Add semi-colons to the end of the criteria 3A and 3B as follows:</p> <p>“A. Responding to the needs of all parts of society; B. Enabling everyone to participate equally and independently;”</p>	To clarify by correcting drafting errors.
AM9.3	JP-P2: Heritage Reasoned Justification	177, 178	<p>Modify the third sentence of paragraph 9.11 as follows:</p> <p>“Greater Manchester has a uniquely diverse cultural heritage represented by its rich and extensive historic and built environment₁</p>	To clarify by correcting a grammatical error with the addition of a comma.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	Paras 9.11, 9.12		<p>including designated and non-designated heritage assets. Its development has evolved over a period of more than 5,000 years, gradually changing from a heavily wooded landscape populated by hunter gatherers and upland camp sites, to become an overwhelmingly cleared rural landscape dotted with farmsteads. Many towns and villages of Greater Manchester can trace their origin to Saxon or Viking settlers, <u>with their</u> place names, often reflecting distinctive landscape features or farmsteads. Today's principal towns established market and borough status from the 13th century, but it was the impact of the industrial revolution of the late 18th and 19th centuries that would transform the character, appearance and economic fortunes of Greater Manchester.”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 9.12 as follows:</p> <p>“The conservation and enhancement of the historic environment will start with the preparation and implementation of up-to-date evidence</p>	<p>To present numbers consistently with elsewhere in the plan.</p> <p>To improve the clarity of the reasoned justification to the policy JP-P2.</p> <p>To clarify by correcting a grammatical error with the addition of a comma.</p> <p>To improve the clarity of the reasoned justification to the policy JP-P2</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			of the historic environment <u>which</u> will be used to help shape and inform the implementation of planning policies at a strategic and local plan level.”	
AM9.4	JP-P2: Heritage Policy	178	Modify the first sentence of policy JP-P2 as follows: “ proactively manage and work with partners to positively conserve, sustain and enhance its <u>our</u> historic environment and heritage assets and their settings.”	
AM9.5	JP-P3: Cultural Facilities Policy	180	Modify criterion 3 of policy JP-P3 as follows: “Promoting new, or enhanced <u>enhancing</u> existing, locally-distinct clusters of cultural facilities, especially where they can provide an anchor for local regeneration and town centre renewal;” Modify criterion 4 of policy JP-P3 as follows:	To clarify by correcting a drafting error. To clarify by correcting a grammatical error with the addition of a comma.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“Identifying, protecting and enhancing strategic clusters of cultural attractions;”</p>	
FAM10	<p>JP-P4: New Retail and Leisure Facilities</p> <p>Reasoned Justification</p> <p>Paragraph 9.18, Footnote 105</p>	180	<p>Modify the fifth sentence of paragraph 9.18 and delete footnote 105 as follows:</p> <p>“The growth of internet shopping and large out-of-town retailers has squeezed many town centres. The UK has the highest levels of internet shopping in the world⁽¹⁰⁵⁾, with 18.0% of all retail sales now taking place online.⁽¹⁰⁶⁾”</p> <p>105 https://www.invespcro.com/blog/global-online-retail-spending-statistics-and-trends/</p>	<p>To take account of the fact that the link in the footnote is no longer available.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM9.6	JP-P5: Education, Skills and Knowledge Reasoned Justification Paras 9.26, 9.27	183	<p>Modify the first two sentences of paragraph 9.26 as follows:</p> <p>“Until 2010, Greater Manchester was seeing a reduction in the number of school-age children, <u>and this trend is set to continue with a projected decrease of 5% up to 2039.</u> but since then the number has grown and a 7% increase in those aged 5-14 is projected over the period up to 2037. The spatial pattern of this recent growth has been very mixed, with much of the increase focused in the inner parts of the conurbation surrounding the City Centre.”</p> <p>Modify the first bullet point under paragraph 9.27 as follows:</p> <p>“Increasing the supply of high-quality housing in a more diverse range of locations to attract and retain greater numbers of skilled <u>workers.</u>”</p>	<p>To update the figures as a result of the change to the plan period.</p> <p>To clarify by correcting a drafting error.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			Add semi-colons to the end of the first four bullets under paragraph 9.27, add 'and' at the end of the penultimate bullet, and a full stop at the end of the last bullet.	
AM9.7	JP-P6: Health Reasoned Justification Para 9.32	185	Add semi-colons to the end of the first three bullets under paragraph 9.32, add 'and' at the end of the penultimate bullet, and a full stop at the end of the last bullet.	Typographical errors.
AM9.8	JP-P7: Sport and Recreation Reasoned Justification Paras 9.37, 9.38	187	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 9.37:</p> <p>Through the Greater Manchester moving programme -we are helping people of all ages to be more active and to live well.”</p> <p>Modify the start of the first sentence of paragraph 9.38 as follows:</p>	<p>To clarify by correcting a drafting error with the removal of a double space after the weblink.</p> <p>To improve the clarity of the sentence by adding two commas.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			“Ensuring the continued availability of, and easy access to, a high-quality range of...”	
AM9.9	JP-P7: Sport and Recreation Policy	187-188	Modify policy JP-P7 by adding semi-colons to the end of criteria 1 to 7.	To clarify by correcting a drafting error.
AM10.1	JP-C2: Digital Connectivity Reasoned Justification Para 10.32	201	Modify the second sentence of paragraph 10.32 as follows: “The Plan area already has a vibrant digital economy, with particular strengths in high-value <u>key economic</u> sectors such as the creative industries, health innovation, and advanced manufacturing and materials.”	To clarify with a correctional wording change to ensure the policy is consistent with NPPF paragraph 123 which refers to key economic sectors, rather than key sectors.
AM10.2	JP-C3: Public Transport	203, 204	Modify paragraph 10.41 by adding the following text to the end the paragraph:	To clarify that we will work with adjacent local

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	Reasoned Justification Paras 10.41, 10.45, 10.51		<p><u>“Where appropriate we will work with adjacent local authorities to improve rail connectivity into / within Greater Manchester where schemes will have benefits for GM residents, for example the proposed rail link at Skelmersdale, west of Wigan.”</u></p> <p>Modify paragraph 10.45 as follows:</p> <p>“The scale and lead-in times for the more significant public transport infrastructure, such as a potential city centre tunnel, will mean that construction may only begin towards the end of the plan period and completion may be beyond 2037<u>9</u>.”</p> <p>Modify paragraph 10.51 by deleting the first two sentences as follows:</p> <p>“On 6 June 2019 Secretary of State issued revised safeguarding directions for HS2 Phase 2b Western Leg (Crewe to Manchester)</p>	<p>authorities to improve rail connectivity.</p> <p>Consequential as a result of changes to the Plan Period</p> <p>To clarify by ensuring references to particular safeguarding directions are not outdated</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>and Eastern Leg (West Midlands to Leeds), with connections onto the existing network which replace all previous versions. Additional Safeguarding Directions were issued 15 April 2020 independently of the June 2019 Directions, which continue to apply.”</p>	
AM10.3	‘Our Streets’ sub-heading	206	Delete the ‘Our Streets’ sub-heading that appears above the heading ‘The Strategic Road Network’.	For clarity.
AM10.4	Policy JP-CX: The Strategic Road Network Reasoned Justification Paras 10.54, 10.55	206	<p>Modify the last sentence of paragraph 10.54 as follows:</p> <p>“Major investment is already coming forward through the <u>National</u> Highways England Roads Investment Strategy (RIS) to address some of these issues, for example through progression of the Smart Motorway programme for the M56, M62 and M6 and the Simister Island interchange improvements.”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 10.55 as follows:</p>	To clarify, reflecting the organisation’s revised name

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“We continue to work in partnership with Department for Transport, <u>National Highways England</u> and Transport for the North to address other SRN issues through major studies such as the Manchester M60 North West Quadrant Study, which is looking at the operation of the M60 between Junctions 8 and 18, and the Trans-Pennine Tunnel Study, which is exploring options to improve highway connectivity between the Greater Manchester and Sheffield City Regions.”</p>	
AM10.5	JP-C4: Streets for All Policy	208, 209	<p>Modify the first sentence of criterion 1 of policy JP-C4 as follows:</p> <p>“The design and management of streets will follow a <u>Streets</u> for All approach, including by:”</p> <p>Modify paragraph 10.63 of policy JP-C4 as follows:</p> <p>“Further information on <u>Streets</u> for All and the strategic approach to highway infrastructure improvements is set out in the Greater</p>	Typos

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			Manchester Transport Strategy 2040 refresh and Our Five Year Transport Delivery Plan provides further detail on the highway infrastructure interventions currently proposed.”	
AM10.6	JP-C5: Walking and Cycling Policy	210	Modify criterion 3 of policy JP-C5 as follows: “Creating active neighbourhoods and street networks which are more permeable to walking and cycling than to the private car, creating an active incentive to walk and cycle;”	Typo.
AM10.7	JP-C7: Transport Requirements of New Development Policy	213, 214	Modify policy JP-C7 to replace the full stops with a semi-colon in criterion 8 and 9. Insert an additional sub heading after criterion 14 of Policy JP-C7 as follows: “ <u>Transport Assessments</u> ”	To clarify by correcting punctuation. Consequential change resulting from reordering the policy wording.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AMCB1	Strategic Allocations Para 11.13	224	<p>Modify the final sentence of paragraph 11.13 as follows:</p> <p>“The Northern Gateway is one of the key <u>growth</u> locations that will help to deliver these fundamental objectives.”</p>	To ensure consistency with JP-J1 point G and to remove ambiguity.
AMCB2	JPA1.1: Heywood / Pilsworth (Northern Gateway) Reasoned Justification Paras 11.20, 11.26, 11.32	228 - 230	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.20 as follows:</p> <p>“Planning permission has been granted for a scheme to deliver around 135,000 sqm of employment floorspace, 1,000 homes and a new primary school on the eastern part of the allocation at South Heywood and this land is included in the allocation for removal from the Green Belt.”</p> <p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 11.26 as follows:</p> <p>“This could potentially include rapid transit linking the expanded Heywood employment area with surrounding neighbourhoods and</p>	<p>To remove wording that would be inappropriate in an adopted plan.</p> <p>To ensure consistency with JP-J1 point G and to remove ambiguity.</p> <p>To add clarity to the paragraph.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>key <u>growth</u> locations helping to maximise the public transport accessibility of the employment opportunities and to better integrate existing and new communities with the rest of Greater Manchester.”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.32 as follows:</p> <p>“Delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy <u>in accordance with Policy JP-S5</u> which ensures co-ordination between phases of development. Measures such as rainwater recycling, green roofs, water butts and permeable driveway surfaces should be considered to mitigate the impact of potential flood risk both within and beyond the site boundaries.”</p>	
AMCB3	JPA1.2: Simister and Bowlee (Northern	234	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.40 as follows:</p> <p>“Delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy <u>in accordance with Policy JP-S5</u> which</p>	To add clarity to the paragraph.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	Gateway) Reasoned Justification Para 11.40		ensures co-ordination between phases of development. Measures such as rainwater recycling, green roofs, water butts and permeable driveway surfaces should be considered to mitigate the impact of potential flood risk both within and beyond the site boundaries.”	
AMCB4	JPA3.1: Medipark Reasoned Justification Para. 11.62	243	Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.62, as follows: “The opportunity that this area offers because of its proximity to Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust (Wythenshawe Hospital) and the wider Roundthorn Medipark Enterprise Zone development is significant.”	To clarify, ensuring consistency with modifications proposed to JP-Strat 10, JP-J1 G(iv) and JP-J3 (part 3)
AMBo1	JPA4: Bewshill Farm Policy	256	Amend the title of Picture 11.12 as follows: “Picture 11.12 JPA 4 Bewshill <u>Farm</u> ”	For consistency with the title of the allocation

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AMBo2	JPA4: Bewshill Farm Reasoned justification Para 11.97	256	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.97 as follows:</p> <p>“The Logistics <u>North</u> site at Over Hulton is currently experiencing considerable pressure for development and <u>is almost completely committed</u>. it is expected that it will be completely committed by the early 2020s. This site provides the opportunity for a modest extension to Logistics North. Development would be for industrial and warehousing uses to reflect the uses at Logistics North.”</p>	For clarification and to bring the plan up to date
AMBo3	JPA5: Chequerbent North Reasoned justification Para 11.99	258	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.99 as follows:</p> <p>“There are no obstacles to the construction of the site and it could come forward within 5 years, subject to release from the Green Belt.”</p>	To clarify deliverability of the allocation following its removal from the Green Belt at plan adoption.
AMBo4	JPA6: West of Wingates /	259	<p>Modify criterion 10 of policy JPA6 as follows:</p> <p>“Ensure that the integrity of the extensive network of existing</p>	Consequential change resulting from modifications to criteria.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	M61 Junction 6 Policy		rights of way network is protected; <u>and</u> ”	
AMBo5	JPA6: West of Wingates / M61 Junction 6 Reasoned justification Paras 11.101, 11.102	259	<p>Modify paragraph 11.101 as follows:</p> <p>“The Logistics North site at Over Hulton is currently experiencing considerable pressure for development <u>and is almost completely committed.</u>, and it is expected that it will be completely committed by the early 2020s.”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.102 as follows:</p> <p>“Developing the land to the west of Wingates would allow a continuing supply of land for <u>industry and</u> warehousing and distribution in the M61 corridor and <u>make</u> a significant contribution to the economy of Bolton and the northern part of Greater Manchester.”</p>	<p>To clarify and bring the plan up to date.</p> <p>To clarify and to make the reasoned justification consistent with criterion 1 of policy JPA6</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AMBu1	JPA7: Elton Reservoir Policy	262	<p>Modify criterion 6 of policy JPA7 as follows:</p> <p>“Make provision for two new two-form entry primary schools...”</p> <p>Modify criterion 10 of policy JPA7 as follows:</p> <p>“Ensure the design and layout allows for effective integration with surrounding communities, including active travel links and connections to Inner Radcliffe, Radcliffe Ttown Centre, Radcliffe Metrolink Sstation, local schools and Bury town centre;</p>	Grammatical correction
AMBu2	JPA7: Elton Reservoir Reasoned Justification Paras 11.112, 11.114	265	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.112 as follows:</p> <p>“Delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy <u>in accordance with Policy JP-S5</u> which ensures co-ordination between phases of development.”</p>	<p>To add clarity to the paragraph.</p> <p>Grammatical correction</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.114 as follows:</p> <p>“There is one Grade II Listed bBuilding within the allocation – Old Hall Farmhouse and there are a number of locally listed buildings and structures throughout the allocation.”</p>	
AMBu3	JPA8: Seedfield Reasoned Justification Para 11.118	268	<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.118 as follows:</p> <p>“Delivery of the allocation should be guided by an appropriate flood risk and drainage strategy <u>in accordance with Policy JP-S5.</u>”</p>	To add clarity to the paragraph.
AMBu4	JPA9: Walshaw Reasoned Justification Paras 11.122, 11.126	271, 272	<p>Modify paragraph 11.122 as follows:</p> <p>“The scale of the development will create additional demands for education and the provision of a new one-form entry primary school and contributions to off-site secondary school provision will be</p>	<p>Grammatical correction.</p> <p>To add clarity to the paragraph.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>required in order to accommodate needs that cannot be met through existing facilities.”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.126 as follows:</p> <p>“To reduce the risk of flooding, the development should minimise the risk associated with inadequate sewer capacity and minimise and control the rate of surface water run-off through an appropriate drainage strategy <u>in accordance with Policy JP-S5</u> and, where possible, safeguard land within the allocation for flood storage.”</p>	
AMO1	JPA12: Beal Valley Policy	277, 278, 279	<p>Modify criterion 5 of Policy JPA12, as follows:</p> <p>“.....as part of any development, to offer the potential to link the site to Shaw Town Centre and further improve connectivity to the local area and beyond;”</p>	<p>Word not needed.</p> <p>Consequential changes resulting from modifications to criteria.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>Modify criterion 8 of Policy JPA12, as follows:</p> <p>“Enhance pedestrian and cycling links to and from the site to the Shaw Metrolink stop, the new Metrolink stop proposed as part of the Broadbent Moss strategic allocation, the bus network and surrounding area...”</p> <p>Modify criterion 21 of Policy JPA12, as follows:</p> <p>“Have regard to the Groundwater Source Protection Zone in the design of the development to ensure there are no adverse impacts to groundwater resources or groundwater quality and to ensure compliance with the Environment Agency's approach to groundwater protection and any relevant position statements; and”</p> <p>Modify criterion 22 of Policy JPA12, as follows:</p>	

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“Incorporate necessary remediation measures in areas affected by contamination and previously worked for landfill purposes; <u>and</u>“</p>	
AMO2	<p>JPA12: Beal Valley Reasoned Justification Paras 11.131, 11.132, 11.142, 11.143</p>	<p>280, 281</p>	<p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 11.131 as follows:</p> <p>“Affordable housing will be delivered in line <u>accordance with relevant local planning policy requirements.</u>“</p> <p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 11.132 as follows:</p> <p>“It is located near to existing residential communities, including Shaw Town-Centre, and has the potential for greater connectivity through the proposed new Metrolink stop, which would serve both this site and the Broadbent Moss site, providing increased access to Rochdale Town Centre, Oldham Town Centre, Manchester City Centre and beyond.”</p>	<p>To clarify the affordable housing requirement for the site allocation.</p> <p>To amend typo.</p> <p>To clarify and ensure consistency with policy JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA12.</p> <p>To amend typo.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.142 of JPA12, as follows:</p> <p>“A flood risk assessment will be required to inform any development, <u>in accordance with policy JP-S5.</u>”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.143 as follows:</p> <p>“The area in the south-eastern corner, which falls within Flood Zone 3, also offers an opportunity, working with the Environment Agency and Lead Local Flood Authority, to develop a wetland catchment area.”</p>	
AMO3	JPA13: Bottom Field Farm (Woodhouses) Policy	283	Insert “and” at the end of criterion 12 of Policy JPA13.	Consequential change resulting from modifications to the policy criteria.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AMO4	JPA13: Bottom Field Farm (Woodhouses) Reasoned Justification Paras 11.144, 11.145, 11.151, new criterion	284	<p>Delete the second sentence of paragraph 11.144 as follows:</p> <p>“However, the site is brownfield land in the Green Belt.”</p> <p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 11.145 as follows:</p> <p>“Affordable housing will be delivered in line <u>accordance with relevant</u> local planning policy requirements.”</p> <p>Modify paragraph 11.151 as follows:</p> <p>“A flood risk assessment will be required to inform any development, <u>in accordance with policy JP-S5. and a A</u> comprehensive drainage strategy for the site as a whole should be prepared as part of the more detailed masterplanning stage, in line with the Greater Manchester Strategic Flood Risk Assessment (SFRA) SUDs guidance, to ensure that undue pressure and burden is not placed on</p>	<p>To clarify, correcting an error in the interpretation of NPPF’s definition of previously developed land.</p> <p>To clarify the affordable housing requirement for the site allocation.</p> <p>To clarify and ensure consistency with policy JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA13.</p> <p>For clarity</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			existing utilities infrastructure through piecemeal and uncoordinated development. Proposals should be supported by a maintenance plan.”	
AMO5	JPA14: Broadbent Moss Policy	285, 287	<p>Modify the first sentence of criterion 1 of Policy JPA14 as follows:</p> <p>“Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan and Design Code <u>design code</u> as agreed by the local planning authority.”</p> <p>Modify criterion 23 of Policy JPA14, as follows:</p> <p>“Incorporate necessary remediation measures in areas affected by contamination and previously worked for landfill purposes; and”</p> <p>Modify criterion 24 of Policy JPA14, as follows:</p>	<p>Consequential changes resulting from modifications to criteria.</p> <p>For clarity.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“Incorporate noise and air quality mitigation to protect the amenity of any new and existing occupiers (both residential and employment), where new residential development adjoins Higginshaw Business Employment Area and the proposed extension; <u>and</u>”</p>	
AM06	<p>JPA14 Broadbent Moss Reasoned Justification Para. 11.154, 11.156, 11.157</p>	288	<p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 11.154 as follows:</p> <p>“Affordable housing will be delivered in line <u>accordance</u> with <u>relevant</u> local planning policy <u>requirements</u>.”</p> <p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 11.156 as follows:</p> <p>“It is located near to existing neighbouring residential communities and has the potential for greater connectivity through the proposed new Metrolink stop, which would serve both this and the Beal Valley <u>site allocation</u>, providing increased access to Rochdale Town Centre, Oldham Town Centre, Manchester City Centre and beyond.”</p>	<p>To clarify the affordable housing requirement for the site allocation.</p> <p>To amend typos.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.157 as follows:</p> <p>“The proposed spine road through the site provides the opportunity to improve connectivity to Shaw Town Centre, Beal Valley to the north and the wider area.”</p>	
AMO7	JPA15: Chew Brook Vale (Robert Fletchers) Policy	290	<p>Modify criterion 1 of Policy JPA15 as follows:</p> <p>“Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan and Design Code <u>design code</u> agreed by the local authority;”</p>	To amend typo.
AMO8	JPA15: Chew Brook Vale (Robert Fletchers) Reasoned	293, 294	Delete the final sentence of paragraph 11.172 of the reasoned justification for JPA15 as follows:	<p>To clarify the affordable housing requirement for the site allocation.</p> <p>To amend typo.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	Justification Paras 11.172, 11.173, 11.80		<p>“There is however also a need for affordable homes across the Saddleworth villages as many residents who wish to remain living within the area cannot currently afford to do so.”</p> <p>Add new sentence to the start of paragraph 11.173 as follows:</p> <p><u>“There is also a need for affordable homes across the Saddleworth villages as many residents who wish to remain living within the area cannot currently afford to do so.”</u></p> <p>Modify the third sentence of paragraph 11.173 as follows:</p> <p>“Affordable housing will be delivered in line <u>accordance with relevant</u> local planning policy requirements.”</p> <p>Modify the final sentence of paragraph 11.180 as follows:</p>	

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>“Finally reflecting the sites unique location, the Design Code <u>design code</u> should ensure new development is in keeping with the surrounding character of the area through the use of local materials and design.”</p>	
AMO9	JPA16: Cowlshaw policy	295, 296	<p>Modify criterion 1 of policy JPA16, as follows:</p> <p>“Be in accordance with a comprehensive masterplan and Design Code <u>design code</u> agreed by the local planning authority;“</p> <p>Insert “and” at the end of criterion 10 of Policy JPA16.</p>	Consequential change resulting from modifications to the policy criteria.
AMO10	JPA16: Cowlshaw Reasoned Justification Paras 11.183,	297, 298	<p>Modify second sentence of paragraph 11.183 as follows:</p> <p>“Affordable housing will be delivered in accordance line with <u>relevant</u> local planning policy <u>requirements</u>.”</p>	To clarify the affordable housing requirement for the site allocation.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	11.186, 11.192		<p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.186 as follows:</p> <p>“Cowlshaw Ponds SBI is made up of three pond areas and there is <u>are an additional areas of</u> priority habitat to the south of Crompton Primary School <u>near Kings Road.</u>”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.192 as follows:</p> <p>“A flood risk assessment will be required to inform development, <u>in accordance with policy JP-S5.</u>”</p>	<p>To clarify location of priority habitats on the site.</p> <p>To clarify and ensure consistency with policy JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA16.</p>
AMO11	JPA17: Land South of Coal Pit Lane (Ashton Road) Policy	300	Add ‘and’ at the end of criterion 18 of Policy JPA17.	<p>To amend typo.</p> <p>Consequential change resulting from modifications to criteria.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AMO12	JPA17: Land South of Coal Pit Lane (Ashton Road) Reasoned Justification Para 11.193. 11.194, 11.202	300, 301	<p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 11.193 as follows:</p> <p>“The saved UDP allocation is not included in the residential capacity set out in the policy, as it has already been identified as part of Oldham’s base-line <u>baseline</u> housing land supply.”</p> <p>Modify the second sentence of paragraph 11.194 as follows:</p> <p>“Affordable housing will be delivered in <u>accordance</u> line with <u>relevant</u> local planning policy <u>requirements</u>.”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.202 as follows:</p> <p>“A flood risk assessment will be required to inform any development, <u>in accordance with policy JP-S5</u>.”</p>	<p>To amend a typo.</p> <p>To clarify the affordable housing requirement for the site allocation.</p> <p>To clarify and ensure consistency with policy JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA17.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AMO13	JPA18: South of Rosary Road Reasoned Justification Para 11.212	305	<p>Modify the first two sentences of paragraph 11.212 as follows:</p> <p>“A flood risk assessment will be required, <u>in accordance with policy JP-S5</u>. A comprehensive drainage strategy for the whole site should be prepared as part of the more detailed masterplanning stage, to ensure that undue pressure and burden is not placed on existing utilities infrastructure through piecemeal and uncoordinated development.”</p>	<p>To clarify and ensure consistency with policy JP-S5, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18.</p> <p>To clarify, improving the effectiveness of the Policy JPA18.</p>
AMR1	JPA20: Castleton Sidings Reasoned Justification Para 11.221	311	<p>Modify wording at the end of paragraph 11.221 as follows:</p> <p>“In the longer term, There could also be potential for a tram-train trial project between Rochdale, Castleton and Heywood. The feasibility of tram-train technology in Greater Manchester is currently being studied by TfGM.”</p>	<p>To provide a clearer context for the position of the project at the present time.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AMS1	JPA27 East of Boothstown Policy	329	Amend criterion 19 of JPA27 as follows: “Employ methods throughout the construction process that will ensure the potential for archaeology is investigated and any finds safeguarded and properly recorded-; <u>and</u> ”	To reflect the insertion of an additional criterion at the end of the policy.
AMS2	JPA29 Port Salford Extension Policy	336	Amend criterion 24 of JPA29 as follows: “Employ methods throughout the construction process that will ensure the potential for archaeology is investigated and any finds safeguarded and properly recorded-; <u>and</u> ”	Typo.
AMS3	JPA29: Port Salford Extension Reasoned Justification	337	Modify the last sentence of paragraph 11.263 as follows: “The ongoing North West Quadrant Study, commissioned by <u>National Highways England</u> , is investigating the options for broader motorway network improvements in this area, and it will be important to	To clarify, reflecting the organisation’s revised name.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
	Paras 11.263, 11.265		<p>coordinate the development of Port Salford with any resulting proposals.”</p> <p>Modify the first sentence of paragraph 11.265 as follows:</p> <p>“Barton Aerodrome (City Airport and Heliport) to the south of the site is an important facility for Greater Manchester, as well as being a significant heritage asset.”</p>	To clarify given that Barton Aerodrome is no longer branded as ‘City Airport and Heliport’.
FAM11	JPA31: Godley Green Garden Village Reasoned Justification Footnote 135	348	<p>The web links to Sport England’s Active Design Guide should be updated to the latest version of the guidance at Active Design Sport England, as follows:</p> <p>135 Sport England – Active Design, Design and Cost Guidance: Active Design Active Design Sport England.</p>	To update the footnote to the most up-to-date web page.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
FAM12	JPA32: South of Hyde Reasoned Justification Footnote 140	353	<p>The web links to Sport England’s Active Design Guide should be updated to the latest version of the guidance at Active Design Sport England, as follows:</p> <p>140 Sport England – Active Design, Design and Cost Guidance: Active Design Active Design Sport England.</p>	To update the footnote to the most up-to-date web page.
AMTr1	JPA33 : New Carrington Reasoned Justification Para 11.325	364	<p>Modify the third and fourth sentences of paragraph 11.325 of as follows:</p> <p>“A lower density of 25 dph is appropriate on the land at Warburton Lane to reflect the rural character of this area and the need to avoid assets of heritage assets significance. Higher density development (up to 55 dph) will be appropriate in and close to the local or neighbourhood centres. Development should be innovatively designed across the site to deliver the specified density whilst</p>	To amend typos.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			acknowledging the local <u>context</u> , landscape character and site characteristics.”	
AM12.1	JP-D1: Infrastructure Implementat- ion Reasoned Justification Para 12.3	384	Modify the first bullet point of paragraph 12.3 as follows: “Working in partnership with other delivery agencies and organisations such as Homes England, the Environment Agency, Sport England, <u>National</u> Highways England, Transport for Greater Manchester....”	To clarify, reflecting the organisation’s revised name
AM12.2	JP-D1 Infrastructure Implementat- ion Policy	385	Modify criteria 2 of Policy JP-D1 as follows: “... the NHS, <u>National</u> Highways England , Network Rail,...”	To clarify, reflecting the organisation’s revised name.

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
AM12.3	JP-D2: Developer Contributions Reasoned Justification Paras 12.12, 12.19	387, 389	<p>Modify the penultimate sentence of paragraph 12.12, as follows:</p> <p>“As part of this, a key aim is to better integrate health and social care services including wider community health services. Local Authorities work with Clinical Commissioning Groups (<u>now the NHS Greater Manchester Integrated Care Partnership</u>) and NHS England to determine what investment is required by monitoring housing and population growth.”</p> <p>Modify paragraph 12.19 as follows:</p> <p>12.19 “In August 2020 the Government published its White Paper ‘Planning For The Future’. The White Paper was accompanied by a consultation document, ‘Changes to the current planning system’. Together, these documents propose radical reforms to the planning system — long and short term. Key changes include zoning of land in local plans into three types of area — Growth, Renewal and</p>	<p>To clarify, reflecting the organisation’s revised name.</p> <p>To clarify, ensuring that the plan text is up to date.</p> <p>To update the text on the Levelling Up and Regeneration Act 2023 from the additional modification that was presented for consultation.</p>

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>Protection and replacing the current system of planning obligations and CIL with a single development levy to fund local infrastructure. However, these proposals are still subject to potential change following the public consultation in 2020. It is also noted that primary legislation may be required to bring forward the proposals. In October 2023 the Levelling-up and Regeneration Act 2023 was given Royal Assent. The Act introduces reforms to the planning system including a new mandatory and locally set infrastructure levy to replace the current system of developer contributions. The detailed design of the levy will be delivered through regulations.</p>	
AMAp A.1	Appendix A, Table A.4	403	<p>Modify the third column of table A.4 (as inserted through a proposed Main Modification) for the row relating to ‘3 An Address of Choice (Partially)’ as follows:</p> <p>“Table 6 – Current distribution of housing land types within District Partnership areas (based on the_2009 SHLAA findings)”</p>	Consequential

Add. Mod. Ref.	PfE Ref.	PfE Page No.	Additional Modification Text	Reason for modification
			<p>Modify the third column of table A.4 (as inserted through a proposed Main Modification) for the row relating to '5 Promoting Sustainable Regeneration (Partially)' as follows:</p> <p>Move text that reads "Paragraphs 5.59 and 5.60 of the reasoned justification" to a new line below "Policy requirements b) to i)"</p>	
FAM13	Appendix B, Green Belt Addition GBA05	418	Rename of 'Pigs Lea Brook 2' to 'Pigs Lea Brook'	Consequential