

101 Manchester Road takeaway objection.

[REDACTED]
Sun 18/02/2024 11:26

To: Premises Licensing <Premises.Licensing@manchester.gov.uk>

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [learn why this is important](#)

I want to voice my objection to the proposed chicken take away.

There is no parking in the area (cycle lane in front) and a take away will be generating short term traffic which will cause nuisance and danger to others in the area. I predict cars will park in a way to obstruct the cycle lane which will cause danger to pedestrians and cyclists. As most of the neighbouring streets are full of parked cars I expect double parking as people stopping to pick up their food will not find a parking space. This will be a particular issue on Manchester United match days when driving football fans already fill up the roads.

Such businesses also generate rubbish which could cause mess in nearby streets which will not only be ugly but could attract vermin.

I'm also concerned that takeaways which have a high volume of food production will generate fumes and nuisance late into the night. Their waste fat also causes fat bergs in the sewers. Chorlton is already well served by chicken takeaways and this shop will not enhance the area at all.

[REDACTED]

Reference: 296175/SMC Miami Crispy takeaway 101 Manchester Road Chorlton

[REDACTED]
Sun 18/02/2024 21:39

To: Premises Licensing <Premises.Licensing@manchester.gov.uk>

I am concerned about both the application to extend the opening hours for the premises 101 Manchester Road Chorlton, and even the current opening hours.

I oppose the application.

I don't think this is a suitable location for the kind of takeaway that I understand Miami Crispy is apparently likely to be. The surrounding cafe/bars and restaurants are generally eat-in, and this takeaway does not fit in.

I think it will be a public nuisance, to residents, visitors and businesses in the area.

There is no parking available nearby, apart from places already utilised by other shops. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
I think there will be an unmanageable increase in cars and cars parking inappropriately, especially in the new Chorlton Cycleway, or in residents' parking places.

Rather than extending opening hours the current licence should be revoked. This takeaway does not fit into the area.

Other branches have apparently suffered from an large increase in litter in the area, and [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] The bins in the area will be full from the litter generated from Miami Crispy.

The shop has a really bright illuminated sign, that appears to be on the whole time (has this had planning permission?), which dominates the area. It is far too bright, and on too long.

[The MEN article](#) from last August shows the problems that have apparently been caused by a Miami Crispy branch in Burnage.

[REDACTED]

DISCLAIMER: This e-mail is confidential and privileged.

If you are not an intended recipient please accept my apologies.

Please do not disclose, copy or distribute information in this e-mail without my permission.

Re: 296175/SMC

Mon 19/02/2024 18:23

To: Premises Licensing <Premises.Licensing@manchester.gov.uk>

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Scott,

I wish to object to the licence application for Miami Crispy, 101 Manchester Rd, Chorlton M219GA. Hours requested until 12 pm and 2am on Friday and Saturday will be a public nuisance and create a risk of crime and disorder.

This is presently a quiet row of shops and cafes with all closing before 11pm. Above there are residential properties and the small premises below do not attract large numbers of customers so noise nuisance is unusual. The popularity of this new takeaway will undoubtedly increase noise nuisance and this will disrupt sleep if it continues until the early hours.

Further nuisance may also be created by the anticipated parking and litter issues which will be worsened by longer opening hours. The small premises will cause customers to gather outside and if in large numbers this will cause nuisance.

There may be crime and disorder issues created by antisocial behaviour if the premises have extended hours. The nuisance to nearby residents will potentially cause disorder if there is disruption until 2am and neighbours sleep is disturbed.

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

On 22 Jan 2024, at 13:08, Premises Licensing <Premises.Licensing@manchester.gov.uk> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Unfortunately, your representation was not received by the consultation deadline and so cannot be considered. However, due to a failure to advertise, the consultation will be restarted soon. We do not yet have a definite date for the restart but please keep an eye out for the new blue notices on display.

For future reference, in order for your representation to be recorded it must be framed with regards to any/all of the four licensing objectives, and how they will be undermined:

- The prevention of crime and disorder
- Public safety
- The prevention of public nuisance
- The protection of children from harm

We also require a full contact address.

Kind Regards

Scott

Premises Licensing
Growth and Development
Manchester City Council
Level 1 Town Hall Extension
Albert Square
PO Box 532
M60 2LA
Email: premises.licensing@manchester.gov.uk
Web: www.manchester.gov.uk/licensing

Tell us how we're doing with our [online feedback form](#)

Find out what the new [Single Use Plastic Ban](#) means for your business

Manchester City Council has introduced a [Women's Night Time Safety Charter](#)

Sent: 21 January 2024 21:29

To: Premises Licensing <Premises.Licensing@manchester.gov.uk>

Subject: 296175/SMC

This licence request for 101 Manchester Rd will cause many problems. As it is a very small premises with narrow footpath and cycle path in front, there will be a problem with parking and delivery bikes. This will be a public safety matter for both pedestrians and cyclists. A nearby business, Blossom florists are already struggling for parking for deliveries so this will impact on a much valued local business.

The extended licence will be a public nuisance for residents who live above the shops. There is no passing trade particularly in the early hours so any trade will come by transport which will bring nuisance to a quiet end of Chorlton.

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error, please notify the system manager.
The full text of the Council's email disclaimer is available at: www.manchester.gov.uk/emaildisclaimer.
Your personal data is very important to us. Please refer to our privacy notice at: www.manchester.gov.uk/privacy for further information.

This footnote also confirms that this email message has been swept for the presence of computer viruses.

Objection to licence application ref 296175/SMC

Mon 19/02/2024 19:50

To: Premises Licensing <Premises.Licensing@manchester.gov.uk>

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

Hello,

I would like to raise my objections to the licence application with reference number 296175/SMC.

I feel that the increased hours of 11am to midnight Sunday to Thursday, and 11am to 2am on Fridays and Saturdays would impact three of the four licensing objectives. Namely the prevention of crime and disorder, public safety, and the prevention of public nuisance.

The proposed later opening hours far exceed those of businesses currently operating in the neighbourhood, as well as those of other takeaways that already operate in this area or have in the past. Despite there being a number of shop units and food outlets in the area, it is still a predominantly residential neighbourhood. The noises associated with a food retailer open as late as 2am would be a **public nuisance** to the people living here. The shop would attract drunk revelers late at night who would bring noise (**public nuisance**) as well as potential **crime and disorder** and threaten **public safety** as a result.

Noise from the general operation of the business would also cause **public nuisance** late at night. The shop unit backs onto a residential street, so staff taking out bins etc will disturb people as they sleep, particularly as this kind of activity is likely to continue after opening hours.

There would also be an issue with delivery drivers collecting orders. The new Chorlton cycleway has reduced the amount of parking in the area, and the unit applying for the increased hours does not have its own car park. As a result, delivery drivers will have to resort to parking in the neighbouring streets, which again is a **public nuisance**, particularly late at night.

I am also concerned that the other branch of Miami Crispy has been in the local and national press for being a **public nuisance** in terms of littering. There is already very little provision in the area to deal with litter as it is, and the arrival of a nationally known brand will bring more problems. This will particularly be an issue at night when drunken customers leaving the premises are likely to dump their rubbish, attracting rodents. This kind of behaviour is evident elsewhere in Chorlton, with streets neighbouring late-opening chicken shops being strewn with discarded boxes and wrappers at the weekend.

I hope you can understand my concerns.

Regards

[REDACTED]

Response to Application: Takeaway - 101 Manchester Road

Tue 20/02/2024 12:20

To: Premises Licensing <Premises.Licensing@manchester.gov.uk>

📎 1 attachments (31 KB)

Miami Crispy Consultation Response - AG(pdf).pdf;

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [learn why this is important](#)

I am responding to this consultation as a Chorlton resident who is currently in the [REDACTED]

I have my own concerns with the opening of the premises in general, with regards to the anti-social littering, parking and noise as a result of their presence. I note that this is not for the subject of the consultation, however wish to register the general concern. The immediate concern, directly related to this application, is with regard to the impact of the proposed hours and licensable activities at the subject of this consultation and the fact that such activities will only exacerbate the issues.

The major obvious concerns are in relation to **public safety** the prevention of a **public nuisance**.

First and foremost, our concerns over the public nuisance the proposed activities are likely will cause is paramount. We are no strangers to the hustle and bustle of urban living, having rented in Chorlton for 3+ years and before that Ancoats and Didsbury. However, the impact of having a premises (where there are already anti-social concerns as I will list below), open until midnight/2am where Chorlton is aiming to increase its residential population by the building of flats on the high-street and in the centre, seems unfair and as a penalty for those young professionals, friends, families and couples who can't afford the large houses, set back from the roads, but are excited to try and live in such a vibrant area, in a major commuter borough for their first home/apartment.

A major concern with the proposed activities is not only in relation to the direct impact from noise that having a greater footfall late into the night would do, but also as to the increase in litter. We are well aware of the state of the streets that the customers of Miami Crispy cause in the other areas of Greater Manchester (Burnage and Levenshulme). Not only is that unacceptable, unsanitary and dangerous in and of itself, but the draw of vermin and the noise that they (the likes of foxes and seagulls, for example) create at night and in the early hours of the morning is going to have a direct impact on our legal and equitable right to a quiet enjoyment of our property. And with the proposed opening hours, it is clear that this will be a problem every single night.

In addition, not only do none of the other premises along that stretch Manchester Road open until the anti social hours every night, as are proposed by the Applicant, but as far as I understand, the Applicant's other premises don't open until those hours either! The fact the Applicant is even putting in this application shows a complete disregard for the local residents. This gives me no confidence that the issues in their other locations, down in part to the complete lack of responsibility by the business, will be any different in Chorlton.

[REDACTED] If we're having these concerns, I can only imagine the knock-on impact it will have on people like us who may decide that there is nowhere in Chorlton that they can afford *and* raise a family, and therefore decide to take their disposable income to other boroughs. We have been living in Chorlton for 3 years and are now beginning to wonder if this is the only option that we, too, face.

The other major concern related directly to **public safety** and the prevention of **public nuisance**, and the prevention of **crime and disorder** is in relation to the impact on the roads, particularly the cycle lanes. We have been so pleased and excited to see the progress of the cycle lanes along Manchester road (and other areas of Chorlton), but have deep concerns about the general impact of the Applicant's premises will have on the enjoyment and safe use of the roads. It is well-known that the Applicant's customers predominantly arrive to the premises by car and a large proportion pull up and park wherever they can, with no regard to residents, nor to double yellow lines or cycle lanes. The impact on the surrounding streets and the nuisance this extra traffic, anti-social, illegal and dangerous parking will do is of major concern. My partner doesn't drive and his main mode of transport and I am nervous about how this misuse of the Applicant's Customer's parking could force him, and others more vulnerable than him, such as the elderly or **children**, into a dangerous situation on the road. While this is a general concern which I would like to see monitored and enforced throughout the borough, this also directly applies to this specific application. All the risks of dangerous, anti-social and illegal behaviour are exacerbated at night by people who feel the cover of darkness and lack of policing means they could act in a way they might be more wary of earlier in the day. Similarly people using the pavements, cycle lanes and local parking at night (where things should be done to make people feel safer, not the opposite) will be put in an even more inconvenient or dangerous position.

Thank you for taking our concerns into account in your decision as to the application.

(I attach a pdf copy of the same for your records)