

Audit Committee

Minutes of the meeting held on 10 December 2019

Present:

Councillor Ahmed Ali - In the Chair
Councillors Clay, Lanchbury, Russell, Stanton and Watson

Independent Co-opted member: Dr S Downs

Also Present:

Councillor Craig Executive Member Adult Health and Wellbeing
Councillor Bridges Executive Member Children and Schools
Stephen Nixon, Mazars

Apologies: Dr D Barker (Co-opted member)

AC/19/59 Minutes

Decision

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 12 November 2019 as a correct record.

AC/19/60 Transitions – Children’s to Adult Services

The Committee considered the report of the Executive Director of Adult Social Services and the Strategic Director of Children and Education Services which provided Members with an assurance update on the progress made in responding to outstanding issues arising from the Internal Audit report on the Transition Service.

The Executive Member - Adult Health and Wellbeing and the Executive Member - Children and Schools attended the meeting and addressed the Committee. Also present at the meeting were Tracy Cullen - Assistant Director Adult Services and Julie Hicklin Special Educational Disability Lead – Children’s Services.

The report explained that two of the five recommendations agreed had been implemented and provided progress on the three remaining recommendations. The remaining recommendations related to:

- The development of a transitions strategy;
- The implementation of a plan within six months for the delivery of the revised transitions offer in line with the agreed strategy and vision.
- The introduction of Key Performance Indicators to support day to day performance management.

The chair invited questions from the Committee.

A member referred to the revised framework and asked where young do people with a disability, requiring support and in the process of transition to adult services, fit within the current framework. Officers were also asked to respond to the reference made in the consultation feedback regarding the Transition Team being seen as the “Mental Capacity Act assessment team”.

It was reported that the Transition Board had been reconfigured and now included representation from across service providers and the Parent/Carer Forum. The Transition Board will discuss ‘Transition’ as a themed approach to the issue for the reason that it was recognised that there is not a clear point of transition from children to adult services and work was required to co-ordinate the various services concerned in order to address policy processes and practices. It was reported that the consultation feedback received referred to the Mental Capacity Act, as it applies to young people as they approach 16 years old and the need for Children’s Services and Adult Services to work together in supporting young people on decisions to be made about their future during the process of transition.

The Executive Members (Adult Health and Wellbeing) and (Children and Schools) informed that a meeting that Manchester Health and Care Commissioning Board had already considered the theme of Transition across related services and this will help to inform the Transition Board when it discusses the theme.

A member referred to the period of time between the audit recommendations in 2018 and the target date of completion in 2020 and asked what arrangements had put in place for young people in the process of transition to adult services. Reference was also made to the introduction of Liquid Logic in July 2019 and officers were asked if all children’s details had been migrated onto the new system and how this is maintained.

The Executive Member - Adult Health and Wellbeing gave an assurance that the outstanding recommendations would be completed by February 2020. The point was made that the recommendations related to strategic planning, a draft transition policy and a transitional training plan as part of the development of a strategic overview for the service. The transition and care arrangements of young people in transition had not been affected and every young person had received a service and has access to support during this period. The Committee was also informed that details of children in a category of “known to Social Care” had been moved onto Liquid Logic system. The migration of children from the education database had started as part of a seventy-week work process and would include children with an Education Health Care Plan or a disability.

The Head of Internal Audit and Risk Management reported that a position statement on the outstanding recommendations would be provided to the Committee in February and would be referred to again within the Annual Opinion to be submitted to the Committee in March 2020.

The Chair noted the work that has been undertaken and yet to be completed to achieve clear outcomes. In noting the assurance given through the report the Committee thanked The Executive Members and officers for the information provided and responses to questions.

Decision

To note the report and the comments received.

AC/19/61 Adult Social Care – Improvement Programme

The Committee considered the report of the Executive Director Adult Social Services which provided an overview of current work to improve the core delivery of adult social care services through improvements in process, systems, practice and culture.

The report provided an update on work to integrate adult social care into Manchester Local Care Organisation, including the mobilisation of the Integrated Neighbourhood Teams.

The committee had previously considered a report in October 2019 and a diagnostic piece of work was undertaken that identified challenges on Adult Services such as:

- An increase in in safeguarding enquiries;
- Increase in deprivation of liberty safeguards referrals;
- Challenges in maintaining low lists for assessments and reviews.

The chair invited questions from the Committee.

A member asked officers if they considered the targets set had been over ambitious, in view that some were not going to be delivered on target and the degree of priority given to the targets by those officers responding to the findings. Officers were also asked to give an update on the progress of the implementation of the improvement plan.

The Executive Director - Adult Social Services stated that the input of internal audit is valued as a means to improving services. The aim of the service is to improve at pace however, this is being done in competition with other challenges on the service, such as the integration agenda, staff recruitment and other significant key pieces of work arising during this period.

The Executive Member - Adult Health and Wellbeing referred to the improvement plan and explained that in the process of delivering the plan there had been a series of challenges such as a significant rise on service demand impacting on staff caseloads and other issues that required action to be taken at once. The improvement plan has helped to deal with those unanticipated issues but has also ensured the safe delivery of services to Manchester residents.

Decision

To note the report submitted and the comments made.

AC/19/62 Adult Services Outstanding Audit Recommendations

The Committee considered the report of the Head of Internal Audit and the Executive Director of Adult Social Services. The report provided an overview of the ongoing programme of improvement work for the Audit Committee, in particular to highlight that work to respond to risks confirmed through internal audits undertaken in recent years and now forms part of a wider programme.

The chair invited questions from the Committee.

A member referred to the setup of performance metrics to monitor performance during the implementation of the action plan, to be agreed with GM Mental Health Trust Management (GMMH), and questioned officers on the use of metrics and the length of time monitoring has taken place. Officers were also asked what metrics are in place to measure success in respect of the work on transitions and what percentage of managers had completed supervision training.

It was reported that GMMH have been providing a service for almost two years however, statutory governance monitoring has been provided through Manchester Health and Care Commissioning. The purpose of the recommendation was to dig deeper as part of the Council's statutory duties under the Care Act and its delivery and to work closer with GMMH. In addition, it was reported that the Assistant Director Adult Services acts as link to the GMMH and provides support to the professional lead. The Executive Director Adult Social Services undertook to circulate to members of the committee, for information, performances metrics in respect of GMMH and Transition work and details on the uptake of supervision training by managers.

The Committee discussed the writing and contents of audit reports and, in particular, the language used to present of information. The point acknowledged that phrasing used within reports could sometimes be difficult put into context if the reader was not directly involved with an issue.

Decisions

1. To note the report and the comments made.
2. To circulate to members of the committee, for information, details of performance metrics in respect of Greater Manchester Mental Health Trust to identify measures of success and information on the take up and completion of supervisory training by mangers.
3. To note the comments made regarding the phrasing used within the executive summaries or audit reports.
4. To request an update to be provided to members of the committee on the completion date in respect of the Deprivation of Liberty Safeguards (DoLS) recommendations.

AC/19/63 External Audit - Update

The Committee heard from Stephen Nixon, Mazars the Council's External Auditors.

The committee was informed that the Audit Plan will be submitted to the next meeting.

Decision

To note the report.

AC/19/64 Draft Code of Governance

The Committee considered the report of the Deputy Chief Executive and City Treasurer which presented a revised draft Code of Corporate Governance. The revised Code of Governance will be submitted to the meeting of Council on 27 January 2020.

The chair invited questions from the Committee.

Reference was made the process of engagement with the public and how this takes place. Officers were asked for evidence of community engagement through ward co-ordination and its effectiveness which varied across the city in terms of the role of elected members and the support/development/training they receive in comparison to officers which should be reflected in the Annual Governance Statement.

It was reported that the process of engagement will be spread across the Council and this will set out within the Annual Governance Statement. The process of consultation and how it could be improved had been considered at a scrutiny meeting. The engagement of communities through ward co-ordination does take place but work was needed to assess how effect this is. The point raised on member training and support was noted and would be taken up through member services. In addition, the Equality Team would be contacted regarding the inclusion of staff and elected members to better reflect diversity of those people contacted for engagement purposes and to address the wording used in the Code, in light of the comments received.

Decision/s

1. To note the report submitted.
2. To note the comments made in respect of:
 - The wording of the Code of Governance document
 - Elected member training and support arrangements
 - The inclusion of staff and elected members to reflect diversity of the city to improve the level of effective engagement.
3. To recommend to Council that the revised Code of Corporate Governance be incorporated into the Council's Constitution, subject to the comments received.

AC/19/65 Annual Audit Plan – Horizon Scanning Report

The Committee considered the report of the Head of Audit and Risk Management which set out areas of potential risk and focus for 2020/21 and future years' internal audit planning. The Committee also received a presentation that set out the context of the plan and identified potential areas of future risk within each area of council service.

The chair invited questions from the Committee.

In noting the areas of risk across the Council's directorates, members referred to the resources available to undertake audit work and underlined the importance of focusing on areas that have most impact on the public in particular prioritising the welfare of people before other areas of services that are not frontline. Officers were referred to the issue of risk management and were requested to define what internal audit perceive as being a key risk. The point was also made that the lists shown in the report could be considered as being prioritised.

The Committee was informed that frontline services to residents is at the forefront of the audit plan, although it is necessary to balance this across areas such as the needs of residents, welfare and statutory requirements. Therefore, the audit of key systems of control, are in place to oversee these to ensure their governance is robust and working effectively. Members were informed that the lists in the report were not prioritised or in any order. The Corporate Risk Register will be refreshed and will be submitted to Audit Committee on 11 February 2020. The Annual Audit Plan will be submitted the following month and will include topics from the Risk Register as well as other issues.

A member referred to future changes in areas such as IT and new technology and officers were asked what preparations are in place to ensure there are resources with the right knowledge skills mix to meet new challenges.

The Committee was informed that investment is being made into increasing skills capacity in areas including data information systems, ICT and data analytics to identify patterns and improve efficiency. Staff training is in place to increase in-house skills and knowledge to meet new challenges. IT and new technology is recognised as a key growth area for audit and in some cases it may be necessary to procure external expertise when a particular skill mix is not available internally.

Members referred to the non-completion of the previous audit plans due to a lack of resources and asked officers how many staff vacancies are in Internal Audit and what preparations were in place to fill them. Also officers were asked if there are any areas that have not been identified as a priority that should be.

It was reported that there are currently three vacancies and interest is being sought to fill the vacancies, however the staffing arrangements may change and temporary resources may be brought in to ensure the audit work plan is achieved. A service review is ongoing and this will provide an assurance that resources will be available over the year to fulfil work demands.

In response to the issue of audit planning for the unknown, it was reported that essential areas such as statutory roles are monitored through key processes and

systems to identify changes or the emergence of patterns. Changes in systems could include overspends or a rise in the number of complaints received in an area of service as well as intelligence gathered from internal and external sources.

A member referred to the role of audit teams from other GM Authorities and asked if consideration had been given to individual authorities leading or specialising in a particular area or skill set.

It was reported that discussion has taken place with the other GM Authorities to co-ordinate working in collaboration and the sharing of resources for pieces of work. This collaborative approach is already taking place with audit work and audit colleagues in the health service.

Decision

To note the report and the comments received.

AC/19/66 The Committee's Work Programme

Decisions

1. To note the Work Programme.
2. To note that the meeting of the Committee on 14 January 2020 has been cancelled.

Personnel Committee

Minutes of the meeting held on 5 December 2019

Present: Councillor Ollerhead – in the Chair

Councillors: Councillors Akbar, N Murphy, Leech, Rahman, Richards and Stogia

Apologies: Bridges Craig Leese, S Murphy and Sheikh

PE/19/26 Minutes

Decision

To confirm the minutes of the meeting held on 16 October 2019 as a correct record.

PE/19/27 Recruitment arrangements for post of Strategic Director, Growth and Development

The Committee considered a report of the Chief Executive regarding the intended retirement of the Strategic Director of Growth and Development from April 2020.

The Interim Director Human Resources introduced the report and informed the Personnel Committee of the Chief Executive's decision to exercise her delegated authority to commence appointment to the post.

No Trade Union comments had been submitted for the item. The Committee thanked Eddie Smith for his outstanding service to the City of Manchester and in particular, for the huge impact his work had made on the regeneration of East Manchester. The Committee fully supported the proposal that the Chief Executive exercises delegated authority for the appointment to the post and agreed that the relevant Executive portfolio Members be consulted as part of those arrangements:

Decisions

1. To note that the Strategic Director of Growth and Development has indicated he will be retiring from April 2020 and to thank Eddie Smith for his work for the Council over many years.
2. To note that the Chief Executive proposes to commence recruitment to the post immediately and has decided to exercise her delegated authority to commence appointment to the post in consultation with the Leader of the Council, Councillor Carl Ollerhead, Councillor Suzanne Richards, Councillor Angeliki Stogia, and Councillor Luthfur Rahman and Councillor Nigel Murphy.
3. To note the proposal to recruit to this Non-Statutory Chief Officer role on a like for like basis; title, role and grade remaining unchanged.

4. To note that a further report will be submitted to the Personnel Committee early in 2020 following a review of the wider directorate management team capacity.

PE/19/28 Realign Senior Leadership Capacity in City Solicitor's

The Committee considered a report of the Chief Executive which put forward a proposal to realign senior leadership capacity with the City Solicitor's portfolio in the context of the departure of the Director of Strategic Communications and the need to realign and develop wider leadership and management capacity.

The proposed changes will lead to a budget saving of £18k and are designed to invest in delivery capacity in support of the Council's strategic objectives.

No Trade Union comments were submitted for consideration of the item.

The Committee thanked Jennifer Green for her contribution to the City of Manchester and agreed the proposals.

Decisions

1. To note the resignation of the Director of Strategic Communications with effect from 3 January 2020 and to thank Jen Green for her commitment and dedication to organisation for the past 20 years.
2. To agree the disestablishment of the Director of Strategic Communications SS3 (£78,715 - £87,217) and the re-establishment of a Head of Strategic Communications role SS2 (£68,526 - £74,175).
3. To note the retirement of the Head of the Executive Office with effect from 31 January 2020 and to thank Helen France

Personnel Committee

Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday, 19 December 2019

Present: Councillor Ollerhead (Chair) – in the Chair

Councillors: Akbar, Bridges, Craig, Leech, N Murphy, S Murphy, Rahman, Richards and Sheikh

Apologies: Councillor Stogia

PE/19/29 Minutes

Decision

To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting on 5 December 2019.

PE/19/30 Adoption of Greater Manchester Continuous Service Protocol

The purpose of the Greater Manchester Continuity of Service Protocol was to provide a mechanism for recognition of service where an individual employed within local government or for the NHS in Greater Manchester moves employment between those sectors on a voluntary basis.

In May 2018 the Committee had considered the adoption of the Protocol by the Council (Minute PE/18/13). At that time the Committee had agreed to recommend that Council adopts the GM Continuity of Service Protocol on a discretionary basis and had delegated authority to exercise that discretion to the Director of HROD in conjunction with the relevant Strategic Director. The Committee had also delegated authority to the City Treasurer in consultation with the Executive Member for Finance and Human Resources to determine discretionary payments under the Protocol.

A report submitted by the Chief Executive now proposed that the Protocol be fully adopted, and the existing discretions and delegated authorities be withdrawn.

The report explained that increasing concerns had been voiced by trade union representatives at the Manchester Workforce Engagement Forum about the lack of a consistent position across Greater Manchester and the failure to adopt fully to the Protocol by major employers. In response to these concerns, the Chair of the Manchester Workforce Engagement Forum had met with employer and trade union representatives of the Greater Manchester Workforce Engagement Board in February 2019 and agreed the following steps.

- Greater Manchester Health and Social Care Partnership would undertake an impact assessment of the Protocol as adopted by local authority and NHS employers across GM and report back to Manchester Locality Workforce Transformation Group (LWTG).

- The LWTG would complete a risk assessment based analysis of actual redundancies over preceding 12 months or potential future redundancies.
- The LWTG would agree to recommend individual employing organisations in the Manchester Locality to adopt a collective position on the full adoption of the Protocol (subject to the outcomes of the above analysis).

The report explained that those steps had been undertaken and that the assessment had concluded that the risks associated with transferring redundancy cost liabilities between organisations were considered to be very low. It was also felt that the Protocol would offer opportunities to employers to identifying suitable employment across organisational boundaries should redundancies ever be contemplated in the future. The LWTG was therefore recommending that the employers, including the Council, fully adopt the Protocol. The Committee accepted that proposal, noting that there were no comments from the Trade Unions reported at the meeting.

Decisions

1. To approve the full adoption of the Greater Manchester Continuity of Service Protocol for relevant new starters with effect from 1st January 2020.
2. To note that the below affected policies will be updated to reflect the agreed position.
 - Annual Leave Policy
 - Recruitment & Selection Policy
 - Contractual sick pay
 - Pay policy statement
 - Voluntary severance.
 - Maternity Policy
 - Paternity Policy
 - Maternity Support Policy
 - Shared Parental Leave Policy

PE/19/31 Strategic Commissioning - Additional Capacity

A report submitted by the Chief Executive proposed the creation of an additional senior management role in the Children's and Education Services Department. This role was intended to provide strategic capacity and commissioning leadership and direction to the rest of the Children's Leadership Team. A proposed structure chart appended to the report showed that this new post would report to the Strategic Director and be the line manager for the existing Commissioning Manager (Grade 12) post. The proposed grade of the new post was SS1. The Committee supported this proposal and agreed that the new post be added to the establishment, noting that there were no comments from the Trade Unions reported at the meeting.

Decision

To approve the creation of a new role of Strategic Lead for Commissioning Grade SS1 (£60,857 - £65,865) as part of the leadership team within Children's and Education Services and reporting to the Strategic Director.

Planning and Highways Committee

Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday, 14 November 2019

Present: Councillor Curley (Chair)

Councillors: Nasrin Ali, Shaukat Ali, Andrews, Y Dar, Davies, Flanagan, Hitchen Kamal, J Lovecy, Madeleine Monaghan, Riasat and White

Also present: Councillors: A Simcock and Shilton-Godwin

PH/19/101 Supplementary Information on Applications Being Considered

Decision

To receive and note the late representations.

PH/19/102 Minutes

Decision

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 19 September 2019 as a correct record.

PH/19/103 123364/FO/2019 – 15-19 Slade Lane, Manchester, M13 0QJ - Longsight Ward

The application submitted relates to the erection of two three-storey buildings providing six apartments in each (twelve in total) including two car parking spaces and associated cycle shelter, landscaping and boundary treatments following demolition of existing buildings.

The application site measured 0.15 hectares in area, is of a roughly rectangular shape and is bounded by a functioning railway line to the rear (east), two-storey semi-detached dwellinghouses to the north and a children's day nursery to the south. Opposite the site on the other side of Slade Lane is a church and associated church hall. The site relates to a disused haulage yard which incorporates industrial type outbuildings, including a single storey, double height shed and an inspection pit to the side of 17 Slade Lane, together with a single pair of two-storey, semi-detached, bay fronted residential properties to the southern half of the site at 17-19 Slade Lane.

The Planning Officer did not provide any further updates on the application to the information already submitted to the Committee.

The applicant's representative was present at the meeting and addressed the Committee on the proposed development.

There were no objectors to the application present at the meeting.

The Chair invited members to ask questions and comment on the application.

A member made reference to the Council's affordable housing policy of the properties proposed and why this had not been included within the report.

The Planning Officer reported that the number of units in the development did not meet the threshold of Council's affordable housing policy.

A member referred to consultation with any existing residents neighbouring the site and whether the residents could be included in any ongoing consultation with the constructors such as the construction management plan to help reduce any potential impact during the construction process.

The Planning Officer reported that the properties on the site are in a poor state of repair and not habitable and there is no requirement for the constructors to consult with neighbours on the construction management plan. Liaison with the constructor and officers from Environmental Health and Highways would take place to ensure compliance with the construction management plan. Planning Officers undertook to encourage all constructors to start and maintain a dialogue with local residents before and during the construction process.

A member referred to the density of the proposal, as referred to in Policy H5, in view of the proximity of Longsight District Centre and asked what consideration had been given to that Policy. Officers were also asked what consideration had been given to the arrangements of the bin store for the proposed development.

The Committee was informed that the density of the proposed development was assessed as part of the application process regarding scale, massing, parking and mixture of properties and had met those requirements. The bin store has been designed to be appropriate for the landscaping of the development and to be unobtrusive to the street scene and practical to allow good access for residents.

Decision

The Committee approve the application, subject to the conditions and reasons set out in the report submitted.

PH/19/104 124495/FO/2019 – Land at Great Ancoats Street, Manchester, M4 7DB - Ancoats and Beswick Ward

This application was for the erection of a 12 storey building to create a 212-bedroom hotel (Use Class C1) with ancillary facilities at ground and first floor level and associated servicing, disabled car parking, drop-off area, public realm and hard landscaping works, with access from Pollard Street.

The application site measured 0.11 hectares in a prominent position on Great Ancoats Street. The site is part of a larger area of surface parking and is surrounded

by a timber knee rail and vegetation. Vehicle access to the site is from Pollard Street. The site is bounded by Great Ancoats Street, a 5 storey office building and 7 storey hotel, and apartment buildings ranging from 4 to 8 storeys.

The Planning Officer drew the Committee's attention to the late representation that had been submitted regarding an update made to Condition 2 of the recommended planning conditions.

The applicant's representative was present at the meeting and addressed the Committee on the proposed development.

There were no objectors to the application present at the meeting.

The Chair invited members to ask questions and comment on the application.

A member in welcoming the application, referred to the arrangements proposed for disabled parking (two spaces had been allocated) and questioned if this was adequate in view of the number of staff to be employed at the hotel and guests who may have a disability and require a disabled parking space.

The Planning Officer reported that the site is located within the city centre and subject to planning policies that seek to promote sustainable means of transport and discourage car usage. The development site is in a central and highly sustainable location within easy access to buses, trams and trains. The Committee was informed that there is a permission on the site for a larger development than that proposed in the application. The application seeks to make the most efficient use of the site with some landscaping included. If required, discussions could be arranged with the proprietor of an adjoining car park site to arrange for additional car parking spaces in addition to the two disabled spaces proposed.

The member, in noting the response, reiterated that point that there is a need for additional disabled parking spaces to those proposed to prevent people with limited mobility from being disenfranchised and on that basis the member would be minded to refuse the application until this issue had been satisfactorily addressed.

Members referred to the need for colour images within the agenda papers for planning applications rather than black and white images provided to help members to better understand the proposal and the surrounding area.

The Planning Officer reported that the images for all planning applications were available in colour on the planning portal accessed through Council's website. Black and white images are used in printed agendas for all Council committees.

The Chair stated that he would speak to the Chief Executive on the use of colour images within reports before the next meeting of the Committee.

A member referred to the information provided within the application and questioned if this was sufficient to make an informed decision on the application.

The Director of Planning stated that the reports submitted to the Committee are written with a sufficient level of information and detail to enable committee members to understand a proposal and make an informed decision.

The members concluded that the application required further consideration to address the concerns raised regarding disabled parking spaces and requested that the Director of Planning bring a further report to the next meeting to address the concerns with potential reasons for refusal.

Decision

The Committee is minded to refuse the application for the reasons that the proposed two disabled parking spaces are not sufficient for the size of the proposed development in view of the number of potential visitors and workforce.

PH/19/105 12685/FO/2019 – Land at Hough End Centre and South of Mauldeth Road West, Manchester, M21 7SX – Chorlton Park Ward

The application submitted relates to the construction of a part three storey, part two storey, part single storey building to provide a new secondary school with associated sports facilities and floodlighting, external landscaping, car park, cycle store and access as well as replacement outdoor provision for a police dog training area and police horse paddocks.

The application site measured 4.3 hectares and comprised of a parcel of vacant land formerly used to accommodate a care home that has been demolished and the southern part of the site is currently used by Greater Manchester Police and provides an outdoor police dog training area, horse paddocks and 2 grass sports pitches.

The site is bounded to the north by Mauldeth Road West beyond which lies residential property on Mauldeth Road West, Chelsfield Grove and the junction of Withington Road and Mauldeth Road West. To the east lies the rest of the Greater Manchester Police compound known as The Hough End Centre, Broughton Park Rugby Club and Hough End Playing Fields. To the south west the site is bounded by the Metrolink Line with residential property beyond.

The proposed secondary school would eventually cater to 1200 pupils, aged 11-16, with 120 full time members of staff in a three storey (9424m² floor space) new build facility.

The Planning Officer drew the Committee's attention to the late representation that had been submitted regarding a question raised on the location of the school and the potential impact of Brexit on the need for additional school places. Reference was also made to the rewording of Condition 28, in the event that foxes were found to be on the development site.

There were no objectors to the application present at the meeting.

Councillor Shilton-Godwin attended the meeting and addressed the Committee on the application on behalf of Chorlton Park ward councillors. Reference was made to concerns raised on the site of the proposed high school and the travel arrangements of pupils to get to the school and the other high schools located in the area. This would result in a high number of journeys each day by pupils to and from the school. The Chorlton Park ward councillors welcomed the building of a new school and were satisfied with planning officer responses to the concerns they had raised. The committee was informed that the ward councillors would continue to work with officers on the application.

The applicant's representative was present at the meeting and addressed the Committee on the proposed development.

The Chair invited members to ask questions and comment on the application.

A member referred to the travel plan arrangements proposed and asked for clarification of the number of drop off bays proposed and the controls to be introduced to prevent and discourage drop off on Mauldeth Road West.

The planning officer reported that there were 24 drop off bays proposed and there would be a further 18 visitor and additional mini bus spaces that could also be used for drop offs. A programme of traffic controls and other measures would be introduced on Mauldeth Road West, including traffic regulation orders, to prevent and discourage vehicles from stopping on the road and mounting the pavement. In addition, a shared pedestrian cycleway would be introduced to the south of Mauldeth Road West between Princess Road and the Metrolink bridge.

Decision

The Committee approve the application, subject to the conditions and reasons set out in the report and supplementary information submitted.

[Councillor Flanagan was not present for the consideration and when the vote on this application took place.]

PH/19/106 121320/FH/2019 - 53 Kingston Road Manchester M20 2SB - Didsbury East Ward

The application was for a retrospective application for the reconstruction of external brick work to front and side elevations of a dwelling. The application was submitted to the Planning and Highways Committee on 19 September 2019 where the Committee decided to undertake a site visit. The application was submitted to the Committee on 17 October 2019 where the applicant requested that the application be deferred in order to allow for a sample panel of the brick tinting to be prepared.

The property at 53 Kingston Road is a 2 storey detached dwellinghouse located within the Didsbury St James Conservation Area. 53 Kingston Road is one of seven identical detached dwellings (the *Shirley Houses*), located on the eastern side of

Kingston Road, which were constructed as accommodation for staff by the Shirley Institute, now Towers Business Park.

The property sits in spacious grounds, beyond which to the north and south are properties 47 and 55 Kingston Road respectively, both properties are two storey detached dwellings. To the east of the site there is a thick landscape belt running along the common boundary with The Towers Business Park. To the west of the site, on the opposite side of Kingston Road, stands no. 56 Kingston Road, a part single/part 2 storey detached dwelling.

Planning permission to erect a two storey rear extension and a single storey side extension to the property was approved in January 2018 under reference 117633/FH/2017. The planning permission was conditional upon using matching bricks in the construction of the extensions in order to maintain the uniform look of the *Shirley Houses*. It became apparent during the construction of the extensions that the approved brick (Ibstock Birtley Olde English) had not been used. Furthermore, for structural reasons the applicant removed the outer skin of the front elevation and completely rebuilt the side elevations using instead a Weathered Pre-War Common type brick.

In view of the use of the non-matching bricks and the fact the rebuilding work was undertaken while the extensions approved under planning approval 117633/FH/2017 were being constructed, the applicant was informed of the need to apply for the rebuilding of the front and side elevations and this formed the basis of the application submitted. In addition to applying to retain these rebuilt elevations, the applicant also proposed to colour tint the bricks to match the other remaining *Shirley Houses*. While not part of this proposal the applicant would also be colour tinting the extensions approved under planning permission 117633/FH/2017 to ensure that all the new brick work matches the other *Shirley Houses*.

The applicant had also applied for planning permission to erect a brick garage at the side of the dwelling, along with a front brick boundary wall and gateposts, and this application was also before the Committee (121460/FH/2018). As with the application, it is also proposed to colour tint the brickwork used in the construction of the garage. This is referred to in planning application 121460/FH/2018 (below).

Committee members undertook a site visit to inspect the property prior to the meeting. In providing a summary of the application, the Planning Officer reported that a sample of bricks had been produced for inspection during the site visit that had taken place. In addition, members had expressed concern with regard to the driveway not being level with the public footway and an additional condition had been added to address the drive/ footway levels and drainage issues.

An objector attended the meeting and addressed the Committee regarding their objections to the proposed development.

The spouse of the applicant attended the meeting and addressed the Committee on the application.

Councillor A Simcock, ward Councillor (Didsbury East) attended the meeting and addressed the Committee regarding a number of concerns with the development and the work that had taken place at the site. Reference was made to the Conservation Area in which the property is located and highlighted that the current brickwork does not match the existing Shirley Houses adjacent. Concern was raised in view of the building work that had continued without appropriate planning permission, after a site visit had been agreed. Also, no examples of brick tinting had been provided as promised, until a small sample of tinted bricks was produced during the site visit. Work had also taken place to build a separate brick garage and install extensive external lighting to the property without planning permission. Two mature trees had been removed from the site and no new trees planted to replace them. Other remedial works were required to the site including the replacement of the damaged pavement and kerbs caused by heavy contractor vehicles and the installation of a dropped kerb to the property access. Councillor Simcock expressed concern at the actions of the applicant in ignoring the planning process and requested that the strongest possible action be taken.

The planning officer informed the Committee that additional planning conditions have been added which require the applicant to:

- produce samples of brick work tinting for the property to the satisfaction of the planning officer. Any changes to the brick work would need to be carried out;
- plant two trees on the site to replace the trees removed;
- carry out remedial work to repair damage the pavement and kerb, introduce a dropped kerb to the access and amend the driveway level to meet the footway level.

The Chair invited members to ask questions and comment on the application.

In noting the work of officers to address the concerns raised, members expressed concerns regarding the tinting of the brickwork of the building, the loss of the two trees from the site and the levelling of the driveway with the pavement and the installation of a dropped kerb. The point was made that the sample of tinted bricks shown to Committee members during the site visit were not in situ and it would be necessary to see a sample area of the tinting on an area of the building to determine if the colour matched the other Shirley Houses. An undertaking was requested from the applicant to guarantee that two mature trees would be planted on the site at an appropriate time of the year to ensure the trees would continue grow. A member made reference to the impact of the brickwork of the building on the conservation area and planning officers were asked if a 'stop notice' had been considered in view of the unauthorised building work taking place and had enforcement action been taken.

The Committee was informed that a 'stop notice' would be issued if there would significant harm caused from the development and so was not appropriate for the circumstances of this application. Enforcement action had been started and had been suspended following the solution proposed by the applicant to address the issues outlined. It was considered that the proposed solution would address the planning issues in the context of the conservation area.

Councillor Flanagan proposed that the application be Minded to Approve, subject to the following:

- The tinting of a sample patch area of brickwork at the rear of the property to match the brickwork of the other Shirley Houses located within the conservation area;
- To delegate authority to the Director of Planning, in consultation, with the Chair of the Planning and Highways Committee, to determine whether the sample brickwork on the property is a satisfactory and matches the colour of the brickwork of the other Shirley Houses.
- The planting of two mature trees in the garden of the property to replace the two trees removed and for planting to take place at an appropriate time of year to ensure the trees continue to grow and mature.
- The carrying out of remedial work to the driveway of the property to ensure that it meets the level of the footway.
- The fitting of a dropped kerb to the access to the driveway and replacement of damaged kerbstones.

Councillor Andrews seconded the proposal.

Decision

Minded to Approve the application, subject to the following conditions:

- The tinting of a sample patch area of brickwork at the rear of the property to match the brickwork of the other Shirley Houses located within the conservation area;
- Delegating authority to the Director of Planning, in consultation, with the Chair of the Planning and Highways Committee, to determine whether the sample brickwork on the property is satisfactory and matches the colour of the brickwork of the other Shirley Houses.
- Subject to agreement being reached on the sample of brickwork tinting, the remainder of the brickwork tinting for the rest of the house and the garage is to be completed within a year.
- The planting of two mature trees in the garden of the property to replace the two trees removed and for the planting to take place at an appropriate time of year to ensure the trees continue to grow and mature.
- The carrying out of remedial work to the driveway of the property to ensure that it meets the level of the footway.
- The fitting of a dropped kerb to the access to the driveway and replacement of damaged kerbstones.

PH/19/107 121460/FO/2018 – 53 Kingston Road Manchester M20 2SB – Didsbury East Ward

The application was for a part retrospective application for the erection of a detached garage and a front brick boundary wall with associated metal gates.

The Committee considered the application in conjunction with application 121320/FH/2019.

An objector attended the meeting and addressed the Committee regarding their objections to the proposed development.

The spouse of the applicant attended the meeting and addressed the Committee.

Councillor A Simcock, ward Councillor (Didsbury East) attended the meeting and addressed the Committee regarding a number of concerns with the development and the work that had taken place at the site. Reference was made to the Conservation Area in which the property is located and the brick work that does not match the existing Shirley Houses adjacent. Concern was raised in view of the building work that had continued without appropriate planning permission, after a site visit had been agreed in August 2019. Also, no examples of brick tinting had been provided as promised, until a small sample of tinted bricks were produced during the site visit.

The Chair invited members to ask questions and comment on the application.

Members raised concerns regarding the colour of the bricks used in the construction of the garage and the construction of the been completed without planning permission.

The Committee was advised that the application had been processed in accordance with the part completion of the garage at the time. The completion of the garage building would need to be considered by the Committee based on the additional information submitted and the site visit that had taken place prior to the meeting.

Members determined that the application should be Minded to Approve subject to conditions.

Decision

Minded to Approve the application, subject to the following conditions:

- The tinting of a sample patch of brickwork at the rear of the property to match the brickwork of the other Shirley Houses located within the conservation area;
- Delegating authority to the Director of Planning, in consultation, with the Chair of the Planning and Highways Committee to determine whether the sample brickwork on the property is a satisfactory and matches the colour of the brickwork of the other Shirley Houses.
- Subject to agreement being reached on the sample of brickwork tinting, the remainder of the brickwork tinting for the rest of the house and the garage is to be completed within a year.

Planning and Highways Committee

Minutes of the meeting held on Thursday, 19 December 2019

Present: Councillor Curley (Chair)

Councillors: Andrews, Y Dar, Davies, Flanagan, Hitchen, J Lovecy, Lyons, Riasat, Watson and White

Apologies: Councillors Nasrin Ali, Shaukat Ali and Madeleine Monaghan

Also present: Councillors: Rawson, Wheeler and Karney

PH/19/108 Supplementary Information on Applications Being Considered

Decision

To receive and note the late representations.

PH/19/109 Minutes

Decision

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 14 November 2019 as a correct record.

PH/19/110 122160/FO/2018 – Belle Vue Stadium, Kirkmanshulme Lane, Manchester, M18 7BD – Longsight Ward

The application submitted relates to the proposed residential development comprising of eighty apartments within one part three, part four storey block and one 3 storey block and the erection of one hundred and sixty-seven, two and two and a half storey dwellings with associated car parking, boundary treatments and landscaping, the creation of new roads and access points off Kirkmanshulme Lane, site remediation and other associated engineering works following the demolition of the existing buildings.

The application site is approximately 4.82 hectares in size and is bounded by Kirkmanshulme Lane to the north, Mount Road to the east, existing residential properties on Stanley Grove to the south and the Belle Vue Sports Village, Leisure Centre and Speedway track complex to the west.

The site is located approximately 550 metres to the north-west of Gorton District Centre and approximately 4 kilometres to the south-east of Manchester City Centre. The topography of the site is predominantly flat but does have some areas of mounding due to previous earthworks and movements around the site. The site is regular in shape and is currently occupied by the Belle Vue Stadium and associated

car parking and operational areas around the track. The stadium is currently used for regular greyhound racing and stock car racing and the site is also used by the MSM Motorcycle Training Centre.

The Chair indicated that in view of the interest expressed in the application from various groups in attendance he would, on this occasion, allow more than one speaker to address the Committee.

The Planning Officer drew the Committee's attention to the late representation that had been submitted regarding comments received from a member of the public supporting the need for affordable homes and stating that greyhound racing is no longer viable at the race track. Further comments had also been received from representatives from Friends of Belle Vue and Startax Oval Motorsport Ltd which presented reasons opposing the application. A ward Councillor had also commented on the location of the development welcoming the mix of housing and the possibility of achieving 20% affordable housing contribution. The applicant/ agent had provided further detail on boundary treatments and plans for the development. The Head of Planning had provided reasons in response to the objections raised.

An objector spoke as a local resident against the application and expressed concern on the level of affordable housing within the proposal (14%) and quoted the national guidance which recommends 20% affordable properties. Reference was also made to the human rights considerations and the loss of the amenity through the loss of the race track which had been used by millions of visitors to Belle Vue since 1926.

An objector spoke on behalf of the Friends of Belle Vue Stadium highlighting the loss of a working class sport and valuable facility within the city that has been in place since 1926 and continues to hold numerous sporting events each year. Reference was made to the granting of an Asset of Community Value order and the ongoing viability of the of the businesses associated with the race track and the welfare of the animals involved in racing. The site currently provided car parking for the adjacent speedway track which will be moved on to residential streets if the development proceeds. The point was made that the reports used in the application have included misleading information.

The applicant's representative addressed the Committee on the application.

The Planning Officer responded to issues raised and advised the Committee that the site of the proposed development does not fall within the Council's Core Strategy, UDP or the MCC Citywide Open Spaces Sports and Recreation Study. The sports of greyhound racing and stock car racing do not fall within the sports protected under Sport England. In considering and balancing the issue of the loss of a sporting spectator facility it is considered that the demand for housing outweighs the loss of the facility. The issue of affordable housing has been tested and this will take place again to test on profits made from any uplift in the value of the properties. The issue of a community asset has been taken into account as part of the planning process. The issue of animal welfare is the subject of separate legislation.

The Chair invited Committee members to ask questions and comment on the application.

A member referred to:

- The covenant in place on the site regarding its use for leisure and asked for clarification from officers.
- Tree cover, in view of the comments from the arboricultural officer that more trees could be included in the landscaping proposals, could conditions 13-17 be amended to require the planting of additional trees.
- In view of the proximity of the development site to the city centre, could conditions be included to ensure that the properties are for private family residential use only to prevent them from being used for private rental purposes.

The Planning Officer reported that the covenant on the site is not a matter for planning and would be for the developer to address separately. With reference to trees on the site, it was reported that there are one hundred trees proposed for the site, as part of a landscaping plan yet to be agreed. Through negotiation, planning officers will push for the inclusion of additional trees with the developer which are appropriate for the location. It was reported that legal advice had been sought and officers were satisfied that the proposed conditions were sufficient to enable enforcement action to prevent properties being used as houses in multiple occupation, hostels or bed and breakfast accommodation.

A member referred to arrangements for parking in view of the adjacent leisure facility and asked officers if these are sufficient to deal with the demand for parking of visitors to the speedway track.

It was reported that there is over 100% parking arrangements for houses and 61 spaces for the apartments and 100% cycle parking. The parking management plan has been assessed by officers from Highways and the plan is considered to be acceptable, in view of the traffic calming and other highways works. The adjacent speedway track is subject to a management strategy to address major events and car parking arrangements.

A member referred to the major road junction of Mount Road and Kirkmanshulme Lane and asked officers if any conditions had been included in the proposal, and had community use of the new stadium been factored into the closure of the Bell Vue Stadium.

It was reported that a traffic impact assessment had been submitted as part of the application and the impact on nearby junctions had been assessed including the junction of Mount Road and Kirkmanshulme Lane and a package of off site highway works were proposed including a cycle lane and were to be delivered as part of a highway works Condition. Community facilities are available at the adjacent speedway stadium for community use.

The Chair made reference to the comments received from Councillor Richards (ward Councillor) that the scheme addresses the need for affordable housing and the future uplift from the increase in value of the properties could be used to contribute to future affordable housing.

The Committee was informed that the financial uplift would be tested at two separate points in the future as part of a legal agreement to be included in the planning agreement.

Decision

The Committee were Minded to Approve the application, subject to:

- The conditions and reasons set out in the report and as amended (Condition 2) in the late representations submitted.
- The signing of a legal agreement for the delivery of affordable housing at the site.

(Councillor Hitchen arrived after consideration of the application had started and did not take part in the consideration or the decision.)

PH/19/111 121099/FO/2018 – Land at Portugal Street East, Manchester, M1 2WX - Piccadilly Ward

Decision

To defer consideration of the matter to allow a site visit to be carried out by the members of the Committee.

PH/19/112 121467/FO/2018 – Land Bounded by Adair Street, Portugal Street East, Longacre Street and Great Ancoats Street, Manchester, M1 2WX – Piccadilly Ward

Decision

To defer consideration of the matter to allow a site visit to be carried out by the members of the Committee.

PH/19/113 124888/FO/2019 - Land Bound by Addington Street, Marshall Street, Cross Keys Street and Chadderton Street, Manchester, M4 4RJ - Piccadilly Ward

The application was for an erection of a six to nine storey residential building (Use Class C3) comprising eighty dwellings including nine townhouses and seventy-one apartments with a resident's lounge, refuse, plant, new substation, cycle storage, an internal landscaped courtyard and improvements to the adjacent footways on Marshall Street, Chadderton Street, Addington Street and Cross Keys Street and other associated works following removal of existing car park. The site measuring 0.12 hectares is bounded by Marshall Street, Cross Keys Street, Chadderton Street and Addington Street/Ring Road and is rectangular in shape.

The planning officer did not add anything further to the report submitted.

No objector to the application attended the meeting.

Councillor Wheeler addressed the Committee in his capacity as ward Councillor (Piccadilly) and welcomed the proposal, in particular the design and use of materials including red brick externally. Reference was made to the level of affordable housing settlement (£220,000) commuted sum and the need to ensure that an uplift assessment takes place to provide potential future funding for affordable housing schemes.

The applicant addressed the Committee on the application.

The Planning officer informed the Committee that the affordable housing appraisal had taken place and was referred to in the report had been independently tested. The assessment produced a figure of 2% affordability settlement. There is an opportunity to increase this amount under the 106 agreement to test the figure at two points in the future, in the event of the uplift of the property's value. In addition, environmental improvement works are to be included in the proposal.

The Chair invited Committee members to ask questions and comment on the application.

Members referred to the sum reached as part of the affordable housing settlement, in view of potential profit (17%) from the development members considered the settlement to be low and it was suggested that a 5% contribution would be more appropriate.

A member commented on the Condition 10 of the proposal and requested Planning Officers to ensure that the landscaping plan is made more robust to ensure the inclusion of specific tree types and planting arrangements and to set out the planning officer expectations of the developer.

The planning officer reported that the approved drawings package will include full details of the planting of trees and other plants to be included within the landscaping plan. The officer also reiterated the advice that the viability assessment had been thoroughly tested and the financial contribution set out in the report was in accordance with national guidance and policy. On this basis the proposal is in accordance with relevant Core Strategy policy.

The members concluded that the application required further consideration and negotiation with the applicant in respect of the concerns raised regarding the affordable housing settlement. The Director of Planning was requested to bring a further report to the next meeting to address members concerns and to advise if there are potential reasons for refusal that could be substantiated.

Decision

To defer the application on the basis that the Committee is minded to refuse the application for the reason it considers the proposed affordable housing settlement is too low and the contribution level should be discussed with the applicant in view of concerns expressed.

PH/19/114 124995/FO/2019 – Land on the Corner of Great Ducie Street and New Bridge Street, Manchester, M3 1WB – Cheetham Ward

The application was for the erection of a five storey educational building (18495 square metres) (Use Class D1) with associated access, servicing, landscaping, public realm and other associated works following removal of existing car park.

This site measuring 0.88 hectares is used as a surface car park and is bounded by Great Ducie Street, New Bridge Street and existing surface car parking. It is roughly rectangular in shape and slopes towards Great Ducie Street. In the south eastern part of the site the topography rises more steeply towards New Bridge Street.

The Planning Officer had no further comments on the report submitted.

No objector attended the meeting.

The applicant addressed the Committee on the application.

Decision

The Committee approve the application, subject to the conditions and reasons set out in the report submitted.

PH/19/115 124495/FO/2019 - Land at Great Ancoats Street, Manchester, M4 7DB - Ancoats and Beswick Ward

The application was for the erection of a twelve storey building to create a two hundred and twelve-bedroom hotel (Use Class C1) with ancillary facilities at ground and first floor level and associated servicing, disabled car parking, drop-off area, public realm and hard landscaping works, with access from Pollard Street.

The site measuring 0.11 hectares occupies a prominent position along Great Ancoats Street. It is part of a larger area of surface parking and is surrounded by a timber knee rail and vegetation. Vehicle access is from Pollard Street. It is bounded by Great Ancoats Street, a five storey office building and seven storey hotel, and apartment buildings ranging from four to eight storeys.

The Planning and Highways Committee at the meeting on the 14 November 2019 was minded to refuse the application and deferred the item requesting officers bring back a report which addressed concerns relating to the provision of parking for disabled guests. The applicant had secured two additional spaces within the car park which would be converted into disabled vehicle bays, making four in total.

The Planning Officer had no further comments on the report submitted.

No objector attended the meeting.

The applicant addressed the Committee on the application.

Decision

The Committee approve the application, subject to the conditions and reasons set out in the report submitted.

PH/19/116 124237/FO/2019 - 419 - 421 Barlow Moor Road, Manchester, M21 8ER – Chorlton Park Ward

The application was for the erection of a five storey building and a three storey building to accommodate thirty-nine apartments (fifteen one-bed and twenty-four two-bed) following demolition of buildings, retention and change of use of Unit 1 as ancillary cycle and bin storage, with associated landscaping and parking.

The site measuring 0.24 hectares is located on Barlow Moor Road opposite Chorlton Park which lies to the east, is bounded by the Shell Garage to the North, Cundiff Road to the south and Oakhouse Drive to the West. Chorlton District Centre lies to the north and the commercial units fronting Barlow Moor Road start to give way at this point to a more residential character.

The Planning Officer drew the Committee's attention to the late representation that had been submitted regarding:

- comments received regarding the inclusion of a security gate.
- Details of a landscaping plan responding to GMP and the GM ecology Unit comments on boundary treatments.

No objector attended the meeting.

Councillor Rawson addressed the Committee in his capacity as ward Councillor (Chorlton Park) and welcomed the development in view of the need for affordable housing. Reference was made to the siting of a security gate to the proposal and the committee were requested to require it to be removed to avoid the creation of a gated community. Access to the properties for local people was also a concern.

The applicant addressed the Committee on the application.

A member referred to the age range of residents to be housed in the properties and if there would be any restriction applied.

In response to the concerns raised regarding the siting of a security gate to the entrance of the development, Planning Officers reported that the recommendation had been made by GMP as part of the comments received from the consultation process. Other measures were available to provide a level of security to areas of the development and a condition was included within the late representation to ensure that this was the case and notwithstanding the submitted plans full details of the location and design of any security gates would be first approved by the Local Planning Authority. With reference to the accessibility of the properties for local people Planning Officers would remind the developer of this requirement. It was reported that there would be no restrictions on age of residents for the properties.

Decision

The Committee were Minded to Approve the application, subject to:

- The conditions and reasons set out in the report submitted and the amendment of the condition to not include a security gate at the entrance of the development and to further consider boundary treatments.
- The completion of a Legal Agreement relating to the provision of affordable housing.

Standards Committee

Minutes of the meeting held on 16 January 2020

Present

Independent Co-opted Member: G Linnell – In the Chair
Councillors Andrews, Evans, Kilpatrick, and A. Simcock
Ringway Parish Council: Councillor O'Donovan

Apologies

Councillor Lanchbury
Independent Co-opted Member: N Jackson
Independent Person: A Eastwood and S Beswick

ST/20/01 Minutes

The minutes of the meeting held 31 October 2019 were submitted for approval.

Decision

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 31 October 2019 as a correct record.

ST/20/02 Membership of the Standards (Hearing) Sub-Committee

The Committee considered the report of the City Solicitor which set out proposals for a review of the membership of the Standards (Hearing) Sub-Committee in light of changes to the membership of the Standards Committee.

The report highlighted that membership of the Sub-Committee was last reviewed at its September 2016 meeting, however since then the membership of Standards Committee had changed and additionally political balance rules now applied.

In light of this, the Committee agreed to align membership of the Hearing Sub-Committee as follows:

Members of the Sub-Committee	Substitute Members
The Independent Member who has been appointed as Chair of the Standards Committee, who will act as Chair of the Standards (Hearing) Sub-Committee – currently Nicolé Jackson	The other Independent Member of the Standards Committee, who will act as substitute Chair of the Standards (Hearing) Sub-Committee – currently Geoff Linnell
Councillor Andrews	Councillor Lanchbury
Councillor Evans	Councillor A Simcock
Councillor Kilpatrick	

Decisions

1. To appoint the following to the Standards (Hearing) Sub-Committee as set out below:

Members of the Sub-Committee	Substitute Members
The Independent Member who has been appointed as Chair of the Standards Committee, who will act as Chair of the Standards (Hearing) Sub-Committee – currently Nicolé Jackson	The other Independent Member of the Standards Committee, who will act as substitute Chair of the Standards (Hearing) Sub-Committee – currently Geoff Linnell
Councillor Andrews	Councillor Lanchbury
Councillor Evans	Councillor A Simcock
Councillor Kilpatrick	

2. To agree that an annual review of the membership of the Standards (Hearing) Sub-Committee shall be added to the Standards Committee's Work Programme.