



Overview and Scrutiny Ofsted Subgroup

Date: Wednesday, 28 February 2024

Time: 10.00 am

Venue: Council Antechamber, Level 2, Town Hall Extension

Everyone is welcome to attend this subgroup meeting.

Access to the Council Antechamber

Public access to the Council Antechamber is on Level 2 of the Town Hall Extension, using the lift or stairs in the lobby of the Mount Street entrance to the Extension.

There is no public access from any other entrance.

Membership

Councillors –

Lovecy (Chair), Bano, Bell, Fletcher, Hewitson, Ludford and Reid

Co-opted Member -

Mr Y Yonis

Agenda

1. **Minutes** 3 - 8
To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 22 November 2023.

2. **Ofsted Inspections of Manchester Schools** 9 - 10
To receive a list of all Manchester schools which have been inspected since the last meeting and the judgements awarded and to consider the main themes arising from the inspections.

3. **Ofsted Inspections of Daycare Providers** 11 - 12
To receive a list of daycare providers which have been inspected since the last meeting and the judgements awarded and to consider the main themes arising from the inspections.

4. **Terms of Reference and Work Programme** 13 - 16
Report of the Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit

To review the Terms of Reference and Work Programme of the Subgroup.

Further Information

For help, advice and information about this meeting please contact the Committee Officer:

Rachel McKeon
Tel: 0161 234 4497
Email: rachel.mckeon@manchester.gov.uk

This agenda was issued on **Tuesday, 20 February 2024** by the Governance and Scrutiny Support Unit, Manchester City Council, Level 2, Town Hall Extension, Manchester M60 2LA.

Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee – Ofsted Subgroup

Minutes of the meeting held on 22 November 2023

Present:

Councillor Lovecy – in the Chair
Councillors Fletcher, Hewitson and Reid

Apologies:

Councillor Bano

CYP/OSG/23/17 Minutes

The Chair asked whether she could attend a Childminders Forum meeting in order to better understand the relationship with the providers, to which officers agreed. She provided an overview of the visits Subgroup Members had carried out since the last meeting. She stated that the visit to The East Manchester Academy had been particularly useful in enabling local Ward Councillors, who had joined the visit, to get involved. She reported that Xaverian College had praised the support they had received from the Council regarding their funding bid. She highlighted how the College was moving beyond its traditional academic strengths towards subjects which linked to needs in the city's employment market. She praised Brighter Beginnings in Newton Heath, including the positive atmosphere and its approach to environmental sustainability.

Decision

To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 26 July 2023.

CYP/OSG/23/18 Ofsted Inspections of Manchester Schools

The Subgroup received a list of all Manchester schools which had been inspected since the last meeting and the judgements awarded.

The Senior School Quality Assurance Officer provided Members with an overview of the inspections which had taken place since the last meeting, advising that all reports for inspections from the previous academic year had now been published. She reported that 88.4% of Manchester schools were judged to be good or better, which placed the city fifth out of ten local authorities within Greater Manchester and in line with the average for the north-west and nationally. She added that 89.6% of Manchester primary schools were good or better, placing Manchester sixth out of ten within Greater Manchester, and 82.8% of the city's high schools were good or better, placing Manchester second out of ten at secondary level within Greater Manchester.

In response to comments from the Chair about the positive outcomes for the special schools which had been inspected, the Senior School Quality Assurance Officer clarified that these were not included in the above figures. The Director of Education highlighted that Prospect House was a new school which had been judged as outstanding on its first inspection. The Chair welcomed that there was additional special school provision for pupils whose needs could not be met in mainstream

schools. A Member expressed concern that the High Needs Block of the Dedicated Schools Grant was not sufficient to meet the needs of all the pupils with SEND (Special Educational Needs and Disability) in the city.

The Senior School Quality Assurance Officer reported that the themes emerging from the Ofsted inspections remained similar to those reported at previous meetings. She stated that these included curriculum design, particularly identifying component knowledge, and curriculum implementation. She reported that her team had put in place a series of webinars on curriculum design and implementation, which had received positive feedback so far. She informed Members that the city had approximately 81 schools which were likely to be inspected during the current academic year and that these had been risk assessed, with officers working closely with those assessed as being 'red' or 'amber' risk. She reported that, where there were specific concerns about a school, this was closely monitored by the Quality Assurance Board. She informed Members that all Manchester schools had received a Quality Assurance visit during the autumn term and that these had included a focus on the curriculum to identify any issues and provide support. She reported that next term there would be a Quality Assurance report focussing on behaviour, as the Department for Education (DfE) was spotlighting this issue. She also informed Members of a webinar which was being developed on adaptive teaching, stating that Members would be welcome to attend this. In response to a Member's question, she stated that the schools judged to be inadequate or requires improvement were not concentrated in any specific area of the city.

Members discussed King David High School, with the Chair commenting that Subgroup Members had seen improvements when they had visited. The Senior School Quality Assurance Officer reported that Ofsted had initially undertaken a monitoring visit to King David, which had been converted to a full inspection because there were signs of improvement and that the full inspection had resulted in a judgement of requires improvement (the school having previously been graded as inadequate). She reported that the school had made significant progress and that it was expected that, when it received its next full graded inspection, within the next three years, it would be judged to be good. A Member reported that a new governing body had been put in place.

In response to a question from the Chair about whether some of the judgements had been expected or not, the Senior School Quality Assurance Officer reported that Unity Community Primary School, which had been judged as requires improvement, had been unfortunate in their judgement, advising that they had been judged good in some areas. In relation to Peel Hall Primary School, which had been judged as inadequate, she advised that officers had already been aware that there were concerns and had been working closely with the school prior to the inspection. She outlined the steps being taken since the inspection, including half-termly Quality Assurance visits, weekly visits from the Senior Schools Quality Assurance Officer, local authority-brokered support from a Trust, an Interim Executive Board replacing the governing body and the Council supporting the school's conversion to a sponsored academy. She informed Members that a SEND review and a Safeguarding Review were taking place at the school. She assured Members that Ward Councillors had been well-briefed and involved throughout.

In response to a Member's question, the Director of Education provided further information on the Wise Owl Trust, which Peel Hall Primary School would be joining, stating that it was a small local trust which worked closely with the Council and she advised that homegrown trusts knew the city and its demographics well. She stated that the Wise Owl Trust was already supporting the school and making a positive difference.

A Member suggested that at a future meeting it might be useful to look at some schools' trajectories over the longer term.

In response to a Member's comments, the Director of Education outlined some of the positive work taking place at Higher Openshaw Community Primary School, stating that the school was very community-focused.

The Chair welcomed the very significant improvements made in the city's secondary school sector. She highlighted the importance of the transition arrangements. In response to a Member's comments about pressure on school places in the secondary sector, the Director of Education advised that more Manchester children were attending secondary schools within the city and families from elsewhere in Greater Manchester were also now seeking places at Manchester schools.

Decision

To note the reports.

CYP/OSG/23/19 Ofsted Inspections of Daycare Providers

The Subgroup received a list of all Manchester daycare providers which had been inspected since the last meeting and the judgements awarded.

The Early Years Quality Assurance Lead provided Members with an overview of the inspections which had taken place since the last meeting. She reported that 95% of early years settings in the city were judged to be good or better and that no settings were currently judged as inadequate. She highlighted that Manchester Montessori House had received an outstanding judgement at its first inspection and suggested that the Subgroup might want to visit the setting.

In response to a question from the Chair, the Early Years Quality Assurance Lead confirmed that the three settings which had recently received judgements of requires improvement had already been identified by her team and had been receiving support. She informed Members that one of these settings had previously been judged as inadequate and had improved following support from her team. She highlighted staffing as a key issue for these settings, and as a wider issue for the sector, and informed Members about work with Manchester College to address this.

A Member expressed concern about the sector's ability to meet increased demand as the free entitlement was expanded and highlighted qualification requirements and pay rates as issues affecting recruitment.

The Early Years Quality Assurance Lead informed Members about plans to change the qualification requirements for Early Years staff and to give managers more autonomy regarding ratios and about a forthcoming Government recruitment and retention campaign in the New Year.

A Member commented on providers developing their own staff into management roles, highlighting an example from a recent visit.

Decision

To note the reports.

CYP/OSG/23/20 Childminders

The Early Years Quality Assurance Lead provided Members with an overview of childminders across the city. She reported that Manchester had 327 registered childminders, although not all would be currently providing childminding services. She informed Members that nationally over the past 10 years the number of childminders had decreased by 50% but that in Manchester the number had only decreased by 25%. She reported that 291 of the registered childminders were on the Early Years register, with the remainder only working with children aged 5 and over. She informed Members that 16 childminders in Manchester were part of the Tiney Childminding Agency so did not have individual registrations and were recruited and trained by Tiney. She informed Members about grants which were available for new childminders registering with Ofsted but advised that the government scheme offered more money for new childminders to register with agencies. She reported that her team offered the same support to childminders who were registered with Tiney as it did to other childminders in the city, including visits and access to the Forums, and that the Council had a working relationship with Tiney. She reported that around 200 childminders in the city could offer free entitlement childcare and that some childminders offered overnight care. She reported that some childminders worked as a group and that approximately 60% worked with an assistant and that her team supported the childminder on their leadership role where they employed an assistant. She explained planned changes in relation to space requirements in the home, which would include kitchens from next year, and the relaxing of the qualification requirements for childminders. She informed Members about the wide range of training and support offered to childminders, including pre-registration training, visits, termly Forums, an allocated Quality Assurance Officer, support from local Children's Centres, support and training on working with children with SEND, safeguarding training, a professional development programme and peer support. She reported that 13 childminders had been judged outstanding, 211 were good and 9 were judged as requires improvement or satisfactory, although 6 of these had no children on roll. She explained that settings which were due an inspection but did not have children on roll could have a "no children on roll" inspection which resulted in a judgement of met or not met but that the grade awarded at the previous inspection would be counted for the figures. She reported that, if settings which had since received a judgement of met were discounted, there was only one provider in Manchester currently judged as inadequate. She informed Members that 93% of childminders were now judged as good or better, compared to 58% ten years ago. She highlighted some of the issues for those which were less than good, including

not keeping up to date with requirements such as first aid certificates and insurance when they did not have any children on roll, which her team regularly highlighted to the providers, and self-evaluation. She explained how her team prioritised their visits to childminders, with priority given to childminders who were due an inspection, had been judged as less than good or were struggling.

In response to questions from the Chair, the Early Years Quality Assurance Lead confirmed that the Forums were used to share good practice and she reported that the SEND support childminders attended the Forums and had delivered presentations at the most recent Forum about what they did and the support they could provide to other childminders. She informed Members about SEND training that the Rodney House Outreach Service Early Years (RHOSSEY) had recently delivered to childminders, as well as SEND training available from Dingley's Promise. In response to a Member's question, she informed the Subgroup about a Level 3 SEND course which was available online to providers through the Best Practice Network. In response to a question from the Chair about identifying children with SEND, she reported that childminders needed to carry out the 2-year-old development check and that a lot of childminders had undertaken Wellcomm training and worked closely with Children's Centres on the Wellcomm screening of speech and language development.

In response to a Member's comments about SEND training, the Senior School Quality Assurance Officer reported that parents of children with SEND could access the Dingley's Promise training. A Member asked for a link to this training to be circulated to Members.

In response to a Member's comments about the need to recruit more childminders, due to the expansion of the free childcare entitlement, the Senior School Quality Assurance Officer reported that a delivery plan for the expansion was being developed and that an update on this would be provided at the next meeting of the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee. In response to a further question, she provided information on the Wraparound Childcare Programme and stated that further details of this would also be included in the report to the Committee. A Member expressed concern that parents would need to pay for the wraparound childcare. The Senior School Quality Assurance Officer clarified that the aim of the Wraparound Childcare Programme was to ensure sufficiency of provision.

Decision

To request that a link to the Dingley's Promise training be circulated to the Subgroup Members.

CYP/OSG/23/21 Terms of Reference and Work Programme

Members were informed that the next meeting would take place on 28 February 2024. Members agreed to visit Manchester Montessori House, Rodney House School and Prospect House Specialist Support Primary School.

Decisions

1. To note the Terms of Reference and Work Programme.
2. To arrange visits to Manchester Montessori House, Rodney House School and Prospect House Specialist Support Primary School.

School OFSTED Inspection Outcomes
Academic Year 2023-24
Reports published from 09.11.23 to 16.02.24

School	Type of Inspection	Dates	Outcome	Link to the Report on Ofsted's Website
Piper Hill High School	Ungraded	26-27 September	Continues to be Outstanding	50232599 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Southern Cross School	Ungraded	26-27 September	Continues to be Good	50232512 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Oswald Road Primary	Ungraded	27-28 September	Continues to be Good	50232683 (ofsted.gov.uk)
St. Aiden's Catholic Primary	Graded	03-04 October	Requires Improvement	50234596 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Claremont Primary	Graded	04-05 October	Good	50233608 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Newall Green Primary	Ungraded	11-12 October	Continues to be Good	50232885 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Grange School	Graded	17-18 October	Outstanding	50233805 (ofsted.gov.uk)
St. Catherine's RC Primary	Graded	07-08 November	Good	50235858 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Trinity CofE High School	Graded	08-09 November	Good	50238946 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Ladybarn Primary	Graded	14-15 November	Good	50238746 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Bridgelea Pupil Referral Unit	Ungraded	05-06 December	Continues to be Good	files.ofsted.gov.uk/v1/file/50237837

This page is intentionally left blank

Early Years Settings
(Published) OfSTED Inspection Outcomes 2.11.23 to 16.02.24
Academic Years 23/24
Ofsted Scrutiny 28 February 2024

Setting	Dates	Outcome	Link to the Report on Ofsted's Website
Kids Around the clock	10/17/2023	Good +	50233424 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Bear necessities Wrap Around Care @ Abraham Moss	9/29/2023	Good =	50232144 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Brighter Beginnings Burnage	27/09/2023 C	Good =	50232169 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Lemon Tree Manchester Ltd (Levenshulme)	9/5/2023	Good -	50229963 (ofsted.gov.uk)
The Vine Playgroup	10/17/2023	Good =	50236333 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Acorn Grove	14/12/2023	Good =	50237187 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Building Blocks Moston	10/27/2023	Good =	50236815 (ofsted.gov.uk)
WMB - Winstanley Day Nursery	11/29/2023	Outstanding	50236764 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Simply Cherish	12/6/2023	Good =	50236763 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Kids Allowed MFT C	12/12/2023	Requires Improvement -	50239439 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Tiny Turtles	15/01/2023	Good +	50239619 (ofsted.gov.uk)
Bright Eyes	19/01/2024	Good =	50239728 (ofsted.gov.uk)

This page is intentionally left blank

Title	Ofsted Subgroup
Membership 2023/2024	Councillors Bano, Bell, Fletcher, Hewitson, Lovecy (Chair), Ludford and Reid and Mr Y Yonis (Co-opted Member)
Lead Executive Member	Councillor Bridges - Executive Member for Children's Services
Strategic Director	Paul Marshall - Strategic Director of Children and Education Services
Lead Officers	Amanda Corcoran – Director of Education Kelly Eyres - Senior Schools Quality Assurance Officer Sean McKendrick - Deputy Director of Children's Services
Contact officer	Rachel McKeon – Governance and Scrutiny Support
Rationale	<p>This Subgroup has been established to:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • consider an overview of inspection outcomes and any emerging themes and issues in relation to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Manchester Schools; ○ Manchester Children's Centres ○ Daycare providers in Manchester ○ Manchester City Council-owned children's homes ○ how local authorities secure school improvement ○ services for children in need of help and protection, looked after children and care leavers • liaise with Council Officers, School Staff and Early Years staff to identify barriers to performance • make any necessary recommendations to the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee
Operation	This Subgroup will meet periodically and report its findings to the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee by submitting minutes to the Committee.
Access to Information	<p>Meetings of this Subgroup will be open to members of the press and public except where information which is confidential or exempt from publication is being considered.</p> <p>Papers for the Subgroup will be made available to members of the press and public on the Council's website and in Central Library except where information which is confidential or exempt from publication is being considered.</p>
Schedule of Meetings	Wednesday 28 th February at 10am

This page is intentionally left blank

**Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee
Ofsted Subgroup
Work Programme – February 2024**

Wednesday 28 February 2024, 10 am				
Item	Purpose of Report	Lead Officer	Executive Member	Comments
Ofsted Inspections of Manchester Schools	To receive a list of all Manchester schools which have been inspected since the last meeting and the judgements awarded and to consider the main themes arising from the inspections.	Kelly Eyres Rachel McKeon	Councillor Bridges	
Daycare Providers	To receive a summary of Ofsted inspection information for daycare providers and to consider the main themes arising from the inspections.	Gillian Blackwell Rachel McKeon	Councillor Bridges	
Terms of Reference and Work Programme	To review the Terms of Reference and work programme.	Rachel McKeon	-	

This page is intentionally left blank