

The Executive

Minutes of the meeting held on 13 December 2017

Present: Councillor Leese - in the Chair

Councillors Craig, Flanagan, S Murphy, S Newman, B Priest, Rahman and Stogia

Also present as Members of the Standing Consultative Panel:

Councillors Bridges, Dar, Manco, Rowles and Sheikh

Exe/17/150 Minutes

Decision

To approve the minutes of the meeting held on 15 November 2017 as a correct record.

Exe/17/151 The Eastlands Regeneration Framework

In March 2017 a draft of the 2017 Eastlands Regeneration Framework had been considered and endorsed as the basis of further consultation with local stakeholders and landowners (Minute Exe/17/049). The Chief Executive now submitted a report on the outcome of the consultation that had been undertaken since March, the views expressed by consultees, and changes made to the draft Framework to address issues that had been raised. The report explained that the revision of the Regeneration Framework had been guided by the 2011 Eastlands Regeneration Framework, approved in July 2011 (Minute Exe/11/080). The development of the 2011 Framework and the activity arising from it had been overseen by the Eastlands Strategic Development Company Ltd, a joint venture between the City Council and Abu Dhabi United Group (ADUG).

The report explained that the consultation process ran from 9 June until 4 August 2017. A range of methods and events were used as part of the consultation, and those were described in the report. Thirty residents and business representatives had attended and signed the attendance sheets at the consultation event held in June, and 23 of those had then provided written comments. In addition 16 other residents and businesses had responded by email or in a telephone call.

The respondents were largely supportive of the proposals. The report summarised the issues raised by respondents as being:

- A number of residents from the Grey Mare Lane and the Lower Medlock Valley Neighbourhoods indicated a desire for comprehensive regeneration as they felt that those areas had been left behind during past regeneration schemes. A number of respondents indicated that they would be happy if homes were demolished so long as a right to return policy is in place.
- Comments were also received asking for more family homes in the area as opposed to apartments. Another concern was the possible height of new buildings.

- In addition specific comments were received about the Grey Mare Lane area in relation to retail provision and traffic movement.
- A small number of respondents asked about the potential of Compulsory Purchase Orders being used and the potential for their properties to be affected.
- A small number of respondents also stated that the draft Eastlands Regeneration Framework would provide the opportunity to undertake schemes to improve the environment generally, and particularly in the Ashton Canal corridor itself and in the Lower Medlock Valley. It was acknowledged that there was a lot of underused land, and that much of it would be brought forward for development, but tree planting and preservation of well managed green spaces would be welcomed.
- Businesses or landowners who responded welcomed the opportunity to work with the Council to help their business flourish or redevelop their land.

The report also described the supportive responses that had been received from the Environment Agency, the Canal & River Trust, Quod (on behalf of National Grid), United Utilities, the Rugby Football League, Network Rail, The East Manchester Academy, Youth Charter, the Greater Manchester Combined Authority, Sport England, the Eastlands Trust, Manchester Metropolitan University (MMU) and One Manchester. The report also outlined the issues that had been raised in March 2017 by local councillors and by the Economy Scrutiny Committee. We noted that at its recent meeting the Economy Scrutiny Committee had deferred consideration of the revised draft Framework document but that the committee had heard from the ward councillors for the area (Minute ESC/17/57). The issues that had been raised by those Councillors were noted.

In its response MMU had confirmed its intention to establish the Manchester Metropolitan Institute of Sport on the Etihad Campus. The University had stated a very strong desire to be part of a world-class sports hub, co-located with international leading sports organisations, businesses and national governing bodies.

The report detailed the changes that had been made to the draft Framework document in response to the matters that had been raised in the consultation. Included in the proposed changes was provision for the MMU's requirement for a 'campus-style' approach to the academic facilities and future student accommodation. That was to be addressed by the expansion of the Etihad Campus further west to incorporate the area in and around the Edwin Road Industrial estate. A new Sports and Innovation Zone would seek to accommodate new academic facilities, student accommodation and managed workspace for new business start-ups. A Masterplan for the Sports and Innovation Zone would now be developed through the Eastlands Strategic Development Company Ltd.

The changes to the Framework document were all agreed.

The report examined next steps that would be necessary to bring about the vision set out in the Framework. The issue of land ownership and land assembly was considered and approved. The discussions with key landowners were to continue to seek to establish a formal Landowners Collaboration Agreement. Subject to the outcome of those discussions a further report might have to examine the use of Compulsory Purchase Order powers in order to ensure that the successful economic development of the area was not frustrated or unduly impeded.

Decisions

1. To note the comments received during the consultation from residents, businesses and other interested parties.
2. To approve the final draft Eastlands Regeneration Framework as appended to the report to guide the future development of the area with the intention that the Regeneration Framework also be a material consideration in the Council's decision making as a Local Planning Authority.
3. To welcome the decision of Manchester Metropolitan University to establish its sports academic base and associated requirements on the Etihad Campus – the Manchester Metropolitan Institute of Sport - within a new Sports and Innovation Zone on the Campus.
4. To approve the extension of the Etihad Campus westwards to accommodate the Sport and Innovation Zone with the boundary of this western extension now being Ashton New Road and the Medlock River as set out in Appendix 2 of the report.
5. To note that the City Council are working with Sport England, Manchester City Football Club, other landowners and businesses who have an interest in the area of the Sport and Innovation Zone to assemble the land needed for the Manchester Metropolitan Institute of Sport. Where the acquisition of property interests would be required the affected businesses would be relocated as far as possible within East Manchester so as to retain the employment base in the area. The outcomes of this land assembly exercise were to be reported to a future meeting.
6. To approve the acquisition of all interests in land required to facilitate the development of the Sport and Innovation Zone and to note that this may require the City Council to explore the use of its Compulsory Purchase Order powers to secure such an outcome. A separate report to a future meeting of the Executive would seek formal approval for this course of action.
7. To note that a more detailed masterplan for the Sports and Innovation Zone and the Etihad Campus area around the Stadium will be commissioned by the Eastlands Strategic Development Company Ltd and the outcomes of that commission will be reported to a future meeting.
8. To note that the City Council will enter into discussions with One Manchester to explore how each organisation's respective land ownerships could be used to transform the Beswick, Clayton and Lower Medlock Valley neighbourhoods and deliver the Housing Affordability Zone ambitions previously agreed in October 2017 (Minute Exe/17/129). The outcomes of those discussions were to be reported to a future meeting.

Exe/17/152 New Manchester Youth Zone

A joint report from the Director of Education and the Strategic Director (Development) put forward proposals for the development of a Youth Zone facility in Gorton. This was to be delivered by OnSide Youth Zones, a charity that operated a network of such centres including the Factory Youth Zone in Harpurhey.

The proposal involved the disposal for nil value to the East Manchester Youth Zone charity a plot of Council-owned land as the site of the new facility. The charity would then lead on the capital works involved in building the Youth Zone. The Council would also contribute £1.2m Capital funding to support the development of the new facility. The remaining £4.8m had been provided by a private anonymous benefactor. The Council would also commit to £200,000 of revenue support each year from 2020/21 to 2022/23.

In order to support the delivery of the project, OnSide had indicated that the Council land would have to be contributed at nil value and that the land must be in a condition that required no additional investment to remediate the site. The proposed site was the location of the former Gorton Baths. In order to support the delivery of the project it was proposed that the Council contribute the land at nil value and that the land be disposed to OnSide by way of a 125 year lease at a peppercorn rent. As a cleared site there would be a requirement for the land to be remediated and it has been determined that some £300,000 should be set aside within the capital programme for those remediation works. Financial support for the project was approved.

The selected site is open space so before any decision could be taken to dispose of it the intention to so dispose had to be advertised and any objections considered in accordance with s123(2A) of the Local Government Act 1972. The means of complying with that legal requirement were set out in the report and agreed.

The meeting was addressed by Councillor Hughes, a Councillor for Gorton North Ward. He very much welcomed the proposal and the opportunities for young people that it would create, as well as the new jobs that would be generated by it.

The meeting was also addressed by Councillor Reid as the Chair of the Children and Young People Scrutiny Committee. Councillor Reid described the important role that youth services could play in the lives of young people and welcomed the opportunities that the Youth Zone was going to create.

Decisions

1. To support the proposal to develop a new Youth Zone facility in Gorton to be delivered by OnSide Youth Zones.
2. To recommend that the City Council approve a capital contribution of £1.2m towards the project build plus an additional £300k land allowance and a revenue contribution of £200k per year from 2020-2023.
3. To authorise the City Solicitor to advertise the City Council's intention to dispose of the site for the purposes of the project in accordance with s123(2A) of the Local Government Act 1972.

4. To authorise the Deputy Chief Executive, in consultation with the Deputy Leader whose portfolio includes responsibility for Corporate Property, to consider any objections and representations in relation to the proposed disposal and determine whether to dispose of the land.
5. Subject to the Deputy Chief Executive, in consultation with the Deputy Leader, being satisfied that the disposal should proceed, to approve the disposal of the site to East Manchester Youth Zone.
6. To approve the provision of Capital and Revenue support for the project.
7. To authorise the Strategic Director (Development), the City Treasurer and City Solicitor to negotiate and agree final terms of the transaction.
8. To authorise the City Solicitor to enter into the lease, funding agreements and all ancillary documentation required to give effect to the proposal pending Capital Approval Processes.

Exe/17/153 Grenfell Tower – Update on the Implications for Manchester

(Councillor Rowles declared a personal interest in this item as a Board Member of One Manchester)

Reports submitted in June 2017 and September 2017 (Minutes Exe/17/078 and Exe/17/113) had explained the implications for Manchester's residents of the devastating fire at Grenfell Tower in London, and the action being taken to ensure that the residents of tower blocks in Manchester are safe and feel safe. A further report was now submitted by the Strategic Director (Development) to provide an update on the actions taken since September.

The report explained that the Council's ongoing priority was to ensure that all residents are safe in their homes, that they feel safe in their homes and that they have access to all the information that they require to be involved in the decisions on the safety of their home. It examined the actions in respect of privately owned blocks and those owned by social landlords, including the Council.

With the privately owned blocks it was explained that three sources of information had been used to compile a register of all the blocks in the city: the Council's own records from planning and development control; the Government's records provided by the DCLG; and the Fire and Rescue Service's records. However, it was reported that the version of the register that was included in the report was incomplete and that since the report had been published further blocks had been identified that ought to have been included, and some could be excluded as being not tall enough to qualify.

The Council had written to all the owners of the privately owned blocks to ask what action they were taking to ensure the safety of their residents. There had generally been a good response to those letters. The report listed those that had responded to those letters, and it was said at the meeting that more had responded that were not

so listed in the report. Work to press for a response from them all was to continue and the report set out the possible means that the Council or the Fire and Rescue Service might be able to ensure landlords provided good fire safety in their blocks.

For the blocks owner by social landlords the report explained the steps each landlord was taking, in particular with regard to the replacement of cladding that was considered to be a fire safety risk.

For Council owned blocks the view was that sprinkler systems should be installed in the building's common areas and in the individual flats. The next step on this would be to consult with residents (and the owners of individual flats where the ownership had transferred under 'right to buy'). The estimate for retrofitting sprinklers in all 36 Council-owned tower blocks was £10.5m. It was proposed and agreed that the funding would be identified by the reprioritisation of existing capital works so that there was no increase the existing capital funding requirements.

The meeting was addressed by Councillor Davies, a City Centre Ward councillor. She had found the update to be very helpful and asked whether a meeting could be arranged between the City Centre Ward councillors and the Deputy Leader, Councillor B Priest. Councillor Priest welcomed the suggestion of a meeting and added that as blocks were also present in other wards it did not have to be solely with City Centre Ward councillors.

Decisions

1. To note the work undertaken to date.
2. To approve the installation of sprinklers within Council-owned tower blocks following consultation with residents at an estimated cost of £10.5 million.

Exe/17/154 Manchester Playing Pitch Strategy

A report submitted by the Deputy Chief Executive (Growth and Neighbourhoods) presented a draft of a Playing Pitch Strategy for the city.

The intention was for the Strategy to provide a clear strategic framework for the maintenance and improvement of existing outdoor sports pitches and ancillary facilities up to 2021, and include all playing pitch providers in the public, private and third sectors. The draft Strategy sought to address:

- the needs of all identified sports within the local area, picking up particular local demand issues;
- issues of population growth, and or major growth/regeneration areas;
- issues of cross boundary facility provision; and
- issues of accessibility, quality and management with regard to facility provision.

It also should be able to withstand scrutiny at a planning inquiry as a robust study and provide realistic aspirations which could be implemented within the Council's

budgets and procurement arrangements. The vision for playing pitches as set out in the draft was

“Manchester will provide a network of high quality outdoor sports facilities that are conducive to increasing and sustaining participation in sport and consequently contributing to making sport a habit for life”.

The key aims and reconditions of the draft strategy were:

| Aim | Recommendations |
|--|---|
| 1 -To protect the existing supply of playing pitch facilities where it is needed for meeting current or future needs | a) Protect playing field sites through local planning policy. b) Secure tenure and access to sites for high quality, development-minded clubs, through a range of solutions and partnership agreements. c) Maximise community use of education facilities where there is a need to do so. |
| 2 - To enhance playing fields, pitches and ancillary facilities through improving quality and management of sites | d) Improve quality. e) Adopt a tiered approach (hierarchy of provision) for the management and improvement of sites. f) Work in partnership with stakeholders to secure funding. |
| 3 - To provide new outdoor sports facilities where there is current or future demand to do so | g) Rectify quantitative shortfalls in the current pitch stock. h) Identify opportunities to add to the overall stock to accommodate both current and future demand. |

Three more detailed schedules were appended to the report covering: sports specific recommendations; recommended actions over the next 18 months; and a list of the key strategic priorities of the universities.

We noted that the Communities and Equalities Scrutiny Committee had also considered this report at a recent meeting and had endorsed its recommendations (Minute CESC/17/50).

Decisions

1. To note the analysis undertaken, to agree the vision and strategic aims as set out above, and to agree the recommendations, actions and strategic priorities listed in appendix 1, 2, and 3 of the report.
2. To delegate authority to the Deputy Chief Executive (Growth and Neighbourhoods Directorate) and the Director of Neighbourhoods, in consultation with the Executive member for Schools, Culture and Leisure, to agree a playing pitch strategy action plan in consultation with Sport England and National Governing Bodies of Sport.
3. To agree that the actions and strategic priorities listed in appendix 1, 2 and 3 of the report, together with the playing pitch strategy action plan that will be prepared by the Deputy Chief Executive (Growth and Neighbourhoods

Directorate) and the Director of Neighbourhoods, be adopted as the Manchester Playing Pitch Strategy.

Exe/17/155 Portland Street Strategic Regeneration Framework

The Portland Street SRF area in the city centre is bounded by Portland Street, Chorlton Street, Bloom Street and Abingdon Street and comprises four existing city blocks. A report submitted by the Strategic Director (Development) proposed a draft Strategic Regeneration Framework (SRF) for this area. This regeneration framework sought to set out the context and opportunity for the future development of the Portland Street area. The draft SRF that was appended to the report detailed the vision to create a new, integrated commercially-led, mixed-use district that consists of high quality new buildings and proposals to extend existing buildings. The SRF would also support direct employment opportunities through the creation of retail and leisure space at street level in addition to those created through the proposed hotel.

The four blocks in the area were described in the report. The first is bounded by Portland Street, Sackville Street, Major Street and Abingdon Street. For this it was being proposed that the existing office building be replaced by a new 4* hotel.

The second block is bounded by Portland Street, Chorlton Street, Major Street and Sackville Street. This is the location of Manchester One, a commercial office building. The site also contains a vehicle access ramp to the multi-storey car park on Major Street. There is also a two storey public house at ground floor level on the corner of Portland Street and Chorlton Street. The intention was to retain the Manchester One tower as commercial office space. The car park ramp at Major Street would be removed to enable the provision of ground floor uses including new retail and leisure amenities.

The third site is bounded by Major Street, Chorlton Street, Bloom Street and Sackville Street. It is the location of the National Express Coach Station at ground level and 4 storeys of multi-storey car park above. There is also a public house on the corner of Sackville Street and Major Street. This site could deliver new commercial or residential led, mixed use development complemented by ground floor leisure and retail units and a proposed community use facility, and could include amenity that would support the local community such as a medical centre on Bloom Street.

The fourth is bounded by Major Street, Sackville Street, Bloom Street and Abingdon Street and comprises a surface car park and two storey public house on the corner of Bloom Street and Abingdon Street, the public house being outside of the SRF area. In this block, a new multi storey public car park could be located on Major Street, which could also provide roof level garden space. It could also deliver retail and restaurant units. Part of this building could also provide space for community use.

The report explained that these indicative proposals were to be subject to dialogue and consultation with existing businesses and other stakeholders within the SRF area. The desire was to ensure that the SRF responds, where possible, to the requirements of consultees and key stakeholders.

The meeting was addressed by Councillor Cookson as Lead Member for Gay Men, and Councillor Craig as Lead Member for LGBT Women. Both referred to the significance of the area as being immediately adjacent to the Gay Village. They spoke of the need for the developments to be sympathetic to the Gay Village's importance in the city centre and for the long-term vision for the area to be complementary to the long-term vision for the adjacent areas including the Village.

As assurance was given that the adjacency of the village would be one of the key considerations in taking the consultation forward and that the consultation would include users and business in the Village.

Decisions

1. To endorse in principle the Strategic Regeneration Framework.
2. To request the Chief Executive undertake a public consultation exercise on the regeneration framework with local stakeholders and landowners.
3. To request a further report setting out comments received in the consultation, and recommending way forward.

Exe/17/156 Statement of Community Involvement

A report submitted the Deputy Chief Executive (Growth and Neighbourhoods) sought approval to adopt the refreshed Statement of Community Involvement (SCI) which had been aligned with the "Our Manchester" approach to engaging the local community and other stakeholders in the planning process. The refreshed SCI set out how the community and other stakeholders were to have the opportunity to be involved in the preparation of Local Development Documents, how they would be able to get involved in Neighbourhood Planning processes and how they would be consulted on planning applications and planning frameworks. The requirement to produce a Statement of Community Involvement was set out in national planning legislation.

A draft of the SCI had been considered in July 2017 and approved as the basis of public consultation (Minute Exe/17/098). The public consultation had been conducted between August and October 2017. The report now submitted detailed the comments and issues raised by consultees and the Council's proposed response to them. A copy of the comments made and the responses to each was appended to the report. The draft SCI had been amended to take into account the views of the consultees and a copy was appended to the report for approval and adoption.

We noted that the proposed SCI had also been considered at the recent meeting of the Neighbourhoods and Environment Scrutiny Committee, and the Committee had endorsed the proposals in the report (Minute NESC/17/61).

Having noted the outcome of the consultation and the changes made to the document as a result it was agreed that the SCI should now be adopted.

Decisions

1. To adopt the Statement of Community Involvement as shown as Appendix A of the report.
2. To approve the Council's response to the comments made during the public consultation period as set out in Appendix B of the report.
3. To delegate to the Deputy Chief Executive (Growth & Neighbourhoods), in consultation with the Leader and the Executive Member for Environment & Skills, the approval of any detailed amendments to the final Statement of Community Involvement; the inclusion of an appendix to the Statement which provides a case study demonstrating good practice by developers in early engagement at pre-application stage and during the preparation of planning frameworks; and the subsequent adoption of the SCI.
4. To delegate to the Executive Member for Environment and Skills further exploration of potential ways of acknowledging receipt of comments on planning applications and planning frameworks.

Exe/17/157 Global Revenue Budget Monitoring Report to 31 October 2017

A report from the City Treasurer was submitted containing a summary of the Council's revenue budget and forecast outturn position for 2017/2018 that had been based on an assessment of income and expenditure to the end of October 2017 and financial profiling up to 31 March 2018. The report gave details of the projected variances to budgets, the position of the Housing Revenue Account, Council Tax and business rate collection, revised prudential borrowing indicators, and the state of the Council's contingency funds. Projecting forward from the position at the end of August 2017, it had been forecast that by the year-end in March 2018 the revenue budget would be overspent by £5.455m overall.

In June we had noted that the Council was to receive £12.92m of Adult Social Care Grant funding and had given that money over to the Manchester Health and Care Commissioning partnership to deploy (Minute Exe/17/080). In July 2017 we had noted the intended use of those funds (Minute Exe/17/103). This report now proposed deployment a revision to the use of the money compared to that which was put forward in July:

- Support to the ASC base budget to maintain capacity, factored into the forecasts (£2.479m) – relating to savings not achieved in year for residential, nursing and homecare (£1.596m) and a further shortfall of £0.883m which is proposed to be permanently offset from grant funding.
- Capacity to meet demand requirements and support hospital discharge (£5.025m)
- Support to mobilise and implement significant reforms at pace (£1.311m)
- Structural capacity to strengthen the Adult Social Care service offer including additional social workers (£0.469m)
- Investment in service reform and new models of care (£1.580m)
- Other investments/demand requirements (£2.053m)

The report set out proposed allocations of contingency funds and unallocated budgets. These were agreed:

- Waste and Recycling - £1.5m from waste contingency for variations relating to the Biffa contract and non-levy disposal costs.
- Discretionary Housing Payment - £0.5m from unallocated contingency to deal with anomalies and hardship in situations where normal Housing Benefit does not cover an individual's rent.
- Homelessness hubs - £100k from unallocated contingency to provide the enhanced weather provision for the Hub.
- ICT Universal Access - £200k to enable all staff to access City Council systems.
- Biffa Inflationary request- £344k from non-pay inflation to meet the contractual inflationary cost increase of a new contractWaste Collection and Street Cleaning Contract.

The report also proposed an allocation from reserves. This was agreed:

- Managing volunteers across the Neighbourhood Services - £58k to build on the MCR Volunteer Inspired Programme (MCRVIP) to develop a centralised platform that captures volunteering opportunities across all Neighbourhood Services, funded from a transfer from the Capital Fund (Minute Exe/17/158 below).

The report also proposed a single budget virement, which was agreed:

- £289k from Highways to Core Capital programmes for the realignment of overhead recharges following the department split.

Decisions

1. To note the report.
2. To note the provisional use of the £12.917m Adult Social Care Grant as set out above which will be recommended to the Manchester Health and Care Commissioning Board.
3. To note the allocation of budget pressures as detailed in paragraph 12 of the report which is in line with the process approved in the budget report to Executive on 8 February 2017.
4. To approve the release of Contingency funds and budgets to be allocated as detailed above.
5. To approve the use of reserves in addition to that already planned as set out above.
6. To approve the budget virement as detailed above.

Exe/17/158 Capital Programme – Proposed Increases

A report concerning requests to increase the capital programme was submitted. We agreed to recommend to the Council three changes to the Council's capital

programme and one other change to the GMCA's capital programme. We also agreed to four other changes to the Council's capital programme under delegated powers. Taken together, these changes would increase the Council's capital budget by £101.485m across 2017/18 to 2019/20.

The report also explained that using powers delegated to the City Treasurer, the following schemes had also been approved:

- ICT Capital Programme – a decrease to the capital budget of £0.411m and the transfer of £0.411m to revenue in 2017/18 for the project to track and report on participation in sport and physical activity in Manchester.
- ICT Capital Programme – A decrease to the capital budget of £0.058m and the transfer of £0.058m to revenue in 2017/18 for the creation of a system to capture all the volunteering opportunities across the City's Neighbourhoods Services, including all cultural and leisure, parks and libraries, as well as environment and recycling projects.
- ICT Capital Programme – A decrease to the capital budget of £0.084m and the transfer of £0.084m to revenue in 2017/18 for a project to provide ICT access to all council staff who work away from core office buildings.

Decisions

1. To recommend that the City Council approve the following changes to Manchester City Council's (MCC) capital programme:
 - (a) Growth and Neighbourhoods Programme – Ross Place Refurbishment. An increase to the capital budget of £2.120m, £1.012m in 2017/18 and £1.108m in 2018/19, funded by Revenue Contributions to Capital (RCCO) from anticipated future insurance claims.
 - (b) Growth and Neighbourhoods Programme – Civic Quarter Heat Network (CQHN). An increase to the capital budget of £3m in 2018/19, funded by grant funding.
 - (c) Children's Services Programme – Gorton Youth Zone. An increase to the capital budget of £1.2m in 2017/18, funded by borrowing.
2. To recommend that the City Council approve the following change to Greater Manchester Combined Authority's (GMCA) capital programme: GMCA - Housing Investment Fund (HIF). An increase to the capital budget of £93.7m, £13.9m in 2018/19, £69.6m in 2019/20, and £10.2m in 2020/21, funded by borrowing direct from Government, under the HIF agreement.
3. To approve the following changes to MCC's capital programme:
 - (a) Growth and Neighbourhoods Programme – Heaton Park Pay and Display. An increase to the capital budget of £0.151m in 2018/19, funded by borrowing on a Spend to Save basis.

- (b) Growth and Neighbourhoods Programme – The Velodrome Track. An increase to the capital budget of £0.931m, £0.602m in 2017/18 and £0.329m in 2018/19, funded by the Stadium Rental Reserve.
 - (c) Housing Capital Programme – North Manchester New Builds. An increase to the capital budget of £0.753m in 2018/19, funded by HCA grant funding.
 - (d) Growth and Neighbourhoods Programme – Our Town Hall (OTH). A decrease to the capital budget of £0.370m (£0.040m in 2017/18 and £0.055m from 2018/19 to 2023/24) and approve the transfer of £0.370m (£0.040m in 2017/18 and £0.055m from 2018/19 to 2023/24) to the revenue budget, funded by Capital Fund.
3. To note increases to the programme of £0.553m as a result of delegated approvals.